Exhibit 5

| 1 | IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT | | | | | | | |
|----------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS | | | | | | | |
| 3 | HOUSTON DIVISION | | | | | | | |
| 4 5 | IN RE: S CASE NO. 20-33948-11 S JOINTLY ADMINISTERED S HOUSTON, TEXAS | | | | | | | |
| 6 | FIELDWOOD ENERGY LLC, S TUESDAY, S JUNE 22, 2021 DEBTOR. S 1:44 P.M. TO 5:37 P.M. | | | | | | | |
| 7 | JEDIOK. 5 1.44 1.M. 10 3.37 1.M. | | | | | | | |
| 8 | CONFIRMATION HEARING DAY TWO (VIA ZOOM) | | | | | | | |
| 9 | BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARVIN ISGUR UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | APPEARANCES: SEE NEXT PAGE | | | | | | | |
| 13 | (Recorded via CourtSpeak) | | | | | | | |
| 14 | | | | | | | | |
| 15 16 | | | | | | | | |
| 17 | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE BY: | | | | | | | |
| 21 | JUDICIAL TRANSCRIBERS OF TEXAS, LLC 935 Eldridge Road, #144 Sugar Land, TX 77478 | | | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | | | | |
| 23 | 281-277-5325 www.judicialtranscribers.com | | | | | | | |
| 24 | Drogoodings regarded by alastropic sound respectively | | | | | | | |
| 25 | Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; transcript produced by transcription service. | | | | | | | |

| 1 | APE | PEARANCES (VIA ZOOM): |
|----|---------------------------------|--|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | FOR THE DEBTOR: | WEIL GOTSHAL ET AL Alfredo Perez, Esq. |
| 4 | | 700 Louisiana Suite 1700 |
| 5 | | Houston, TX 77002 713-546-5040 |
| 6 | | WEIL GOTSHAL ET AL |
| 7 | | Erin Choi, Esq. Paul Genender, Esq. |
| 8 | | 200 Crescent Court Suite 300 |
| 9 | | Dallas, TX 75201 214-746-8184 |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | FOR RIDGEWOOD KATMAI: | LOCKE LORD LLP Philip Eisenberg, Esq. |
| 13 | | J.P. Morgan Chase Tower 600 Travis |
| 14 | | Suite 2800 Houston, Texas 77002 |
| 15 | | 713-226-1304 |
| 16 | FOR ECOPETROL AMERICA | LLC SQUIRE PATTON ET AL Kelly Singer, Esq. |
| 17 | | 1 East Washington Street Suite 2700 |
| 18 | | Phoenix, AZ 85004 602-528-4000 |
| 19 | FOR BP EXPLORATION: | GREENBERG TRAURIG |
| 20 | | Craig Duewall, Esq. 300 West 6th Street |
| 21 | | Suite 2050 Austin, TX 78701 |
| 22 | | 512-320-7200 |
| 23 | FOR TRAVELERS, LIBERTY HANOVER. | MUTUAL, LANGLEY LLP |
| 24 | | Brandon Bains, Esq. P.O. Box 94075 |
| 25 | | Southlake, TX 76092 214-722-7171 |
| | I . | |

| Τ | APPEARANCE APPEARANCE | ES CONTINUED: | | |
|-----|------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| 2 | | | | |
| 3 | FOR RIDGEWOOD KATMAI, LLC AND ILX: | SIDLEY AUSTIN, LLP | | |
| 4 | | Michael Fishel, Esq. 1000 Louisiana Street | | |
| 5 | | Suite 6000 Houston, TX 77002 | | |
| 6 | | 713-495-4645 | | |
| 7 | FOR LLOG EXPLORATION: | GIEGER LABORDER & LAPEROUSE John Baay, Esq. 701 Poydras St. Suite 4800 | | |
| 8 | | | | |
| 9 | | New Orleans, LA 70139 504-561-0400 | | |
| . 0 | | | | |
| .1 | | | | |
| .2 | | | | |
| .3 | | | | |
| . 4 | (Please also see Electronic A | ppearances.) | | |
| .5 | | | | |
| . 6 | | | | |
| .7 | | | | |
| . 8 | | | | |
| .9 | | | | |
| 20 | | | | |
| 21 | | | | |
| 22 | | | | |
| 23 | | | | |
| 24 | | | | |
| 25 | | | | |
| | | | | |

| 1 | | | INDEX | | |
|----|------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------|----------|---------|
| 2 | | | | | |
| 3 | <u>WITNESS</u> : | Direct | Cross | Redirect | Recross |
| 4 | JOHN ANTHONY GRAI By Mr. Perez | HAM 6 | | | |
| 5 | | 0 | • | • | • |
| 6 | CLAYTON GREEN | 18 | | | |
| 7 | By Ms. Choi By Mr. Singer | • | • 41 | • | • |
| 8 | ADDIANA DONIOND | | | | |
| 9 | ADRIANA BONJOUR By Mr. Hutton | 63 | • | • | • |
| 10 | By Ms. Choi | • | 75 | • | • |
| 11 | JOHN-PAUL HANSON | 0.0 | | | |
| 12 | By Mr. Genender By Mr. Duewall | 82 • | 91 | • | • |
| 13 | | | | | |
| 14 | | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | |
| 16 | EXHIBITS: | | Rec | eived | |
| 17 | Exhibit 1566 | 1500 0 1 | E02 4 | | |
| 18 | Exhibits 1592-2, and 1592-5 | 1701-2 and 1613-3 and | | 97 | |
| 19 | 1608-1 | | | 98 | |
| 20 | 1613-4 | | | 95 | |
| 21 | Exhibits 1631-1, Exhibit 1620-1 | 1631-2 | | 45 56 | |
| 22 | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | |
| | Ī | | | | |

1 HOUSTON, TEXAS; TUESDAY, JUNE 22 16, 2021; 1:44 A.M. 2 THE COURT: All right, we're returning to the 3 Confirmation Hearing in the Fieldwood case. It's 20-33948. Who's going to be the proponents' next witness? 4 5 (Pause in the proceedings.) 6 THE COURT: Mr. Perez? 7 MR. PEREZ: Yes, Your Honor, Alfredo Perez. John 8 Graham was going to be our next witness, Your Honor. I see 9 him on the screen. I don't know whether he's on. 10 THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Graham, if I could get you to press five star 11 12 one time on your line, please, sir? 13 Mr. Graham, good afternoon. Would you raise your right hand for me please? 14 15 MR. GRAHAM: Good afternoon. THE COURT: Thank you. Would you raise your right 16 17 hand for me, please? 18 (Witness sworn.) 19 THE COURT: Thank you. 20 All right, let me see. I've got someone else that 21 wishes to address the Court. Well, Mr. Perez, I had already 22 activated your line I think before you pressed five star. 23 All right, let's move ahead then. 24 MR. PEREZ: Thank you. Your Honor, can you hear 25 me?

```
1
              THE COURT: Yes, sir.
 2
              MR. PEREZ: I think you lowered my hand. Oh, you
 3
    can hear me?
 4
              THE COURT: I lowered your hand because I already
 5
   activated your line. I'll leave it open for the hearing.
              MR. PEREZ: Oh, okay, okay. I thought you were
 6
7
   trying to silence me. But, --
8
                          I have other ways of doing that.
 9
              DIRECT EXAMINATION OF JOHN ANTHONY GRAHAM
   BY MR. PEREZ:
10
        Good afternoon, Mr. Graham. Could you please state
11
12
   your name?
       John Anthony Graham.
13
14
        And, Mr. Graham, are you the individual that is
   proposed to be the sole manager of Fieldwood One?
15
16
         I am.
17
        Would you please tell the Court your educational
18
   background?
         I graduated in 1977 from the University of Missouri
19
   with a Bachelors of Science degree in mechanical
20
    engineering. And then in 1983, I graduated from University
21
22
   of Oklahoma with a Masters in Business Administration.
23
         In addition, I'm a registered professional engineer in
   the State of Texas.
24
25
        Now, when did you first obtain employment in your area
```

- 1 || of expertise?
- 2 | A I started to work in 1977 for AMACO Production Company
- 3 || in Tyler, Texas.
- $4 \parallel Q$ And what was your position at that time?
- 5 | A I was a production engineer.
- 6 Q All right, and then, what did you do after that
- 7 | opportunity?
- 8 After joining AMACO in 1977, I had several different
- 9 positions relating to operations engineer, reservoir
- 10 | engineer, reservoir engineering manager, vice president of
- 11 | reservoir engineering, region vice president and country
- 12 | manager, region vice president and managing director.
- 13 || Q All right, so let me -- let me -- so --
- 14 And also vice president of health safety security
- 15 | environment for Apache Incorporation.
- 16 \parallel Q All right. So, what was your last employment,
- 17 Mr. Graham?
- 18 | A I retired from Apache Corporation in April of 2020
- 19 | after serving them in five countries, seven regions, at
- 20 | corporate office in 25 years.
- 21 | Q All right, so prior to the time that you went to
- 22 | Apache, what were your positions? What type of work did you
- 23 || do?
- 24 | A I was doing petroleum engineering work primarily as an
- 25 | operations engineer, production engineer, reservoir

- 1 | engineering management and reservoir engineer executive.
- 2 | Q Okay and --
- $3 \parallel A$ And also vice president of health, safety environment.
- 4 | Q Okay, so when you went to Apache, what were your
- 5 | positions?
- 6 A I went to Apache in 1994 as reservoir engineering
- 7 | manager in the Rocky Mountain Region in Denver, Colorado.
- 8 \parallel Q And then what was your next position after that?
- 9 | A It was Region Vice President of the Gulf Coast.
- 10 ||Q| Okay and then after that?
- 11 A I was transferred as engineering general manager for
- 12 | Apache Egypt's companies in Cairo, Egypt.
- 13 | Q Okay, were you ever the reservoir engineering manager
- 14 | for the Gulf Coast region -- for the Gulf of Mexico region?
- 15 | A I was reservoir engineering manager for the Gulf of
- 16 | Mexico region, yes.
- 17 | Q Okay. And then after your position in Cairo, Egypt,
- 18 | where did you go from there?
- 19 | A I was promoted to vice president of reservoir
- 20 | engineering in our Canadian region in Calvary Alberta.
- 21 ||Q| Okay. And then what did you do after that?
- 22 | A After three years, I was asked to transfer to Buenos
- 23 | Aires, Argentina as the region vice president and country
- 24 | manager for Apache Argentina.
- 25 ||Q| And then after that position, what did you do?

- JOHN ANTHONY GRAHAM DIRECT BY MR. PEREZ
- 1 A I was transferred back to Houston, Texas as a vice
- 2 | president of health, safety, security, and environment for
- 3 | Apache Corporation worldwide.
- $4 \parallel Q$ Okay. So at that point -- at that time did you have
- 5 | responsibility for health, safety, security and the
- 6 | environment for the Gulf of Mexico region?
- $7 \parallel A$ I had -- I was the oversight for the entire company.
- 8 | Not necessarily just the Gulf of Mexico.
- 9 ||Q| Got it. And then what was your position after that?
- 10 A After that, I was transferred to Aberdeen, Scotland as
- 11 | region vice president and managing director of Apache North
- 12 | Sea.
- 13 | Q And was that your last posting with Apache?
- 14 A Yes, it was. And I retired in April of 2020.
- 15 \parallel Q Now, could you tell the Court how big the North Sea
- 16 | region is?
- 17 | A When I was managing the North Sea Region, we produced
- 18 | between 60 and 70,000 barrels of oil a day, equivalent, from
- 19 | seven platforms. And we would generate in the neighborhood
- 20 || of \$3 billion a year worth of revenue. And our net revenue
- 21 was approximately a billion and a half dollars a year.
- 22 || Q Thank you.
- 23 | Now in terms of when did you first hear about the
- 24 | opportunity to be the sole manager?
- 25 A In August of 2020 I was contacted by Anthony Lanny,

- 1 general counsel for Apache Corporation. He described the
- 2 position they were looking to fill at Fieldwood One. He
- 3 | thought I had the background and expertise and experience
- 4 | for that. And asked me if I would be interested in
- 5 | submitting my name for consideration.
- 6 Q And so what did you do after that first initial
- 7 || contact?
- 8 | A I provided Mr. Lanny with an updated résumé and he
- 9 explained -- he also provided me with the job description
- 10 | and also the term sheet agreement between Apache and
- 11 || Fieldwood Energy.
- 12 And he told me the situation that it was going through,
- 13 | the bankruptcy, and that it would be some time in the first
- 14 | quarter of 2021, you know, before Fieldwood One would emerge
- 15 | from the bankruptcy.
- 16 Q And did you indicate an interest in pursuing this
- 17 | opportunity?
- 18 A After consideration and reading the documents, I told
- 19 Mr. Lanny that I gave him my approval to submit my name for
- 20 | consideration.
- 21 ||Q| And then what happened next?
- 22 | A I was contacted by Mr. Lanny in early December letting
- 23 | me know that I would be contacted by Fieldwood Energy to
- 24 where they could have an opportunity to speak with me, get
- 25 | to know me and understand my background and experience.

- 1 ||Q| And did that happen?
- 2 | A Yes, I think sometime in mid-December I had a meeting
- 3 | with Mike Dane and Tommy Lamb to discuss the Fieldwood One
- 4 | position.
- $5 \parallel Q$ Okay and then what happened as a result of that
- 6 | meeting?
- 7 | A After that meeting, I was next contacted and presented
- 8 | with the job offer as sole manager for Fieldwood One and
- 9 also, they asked me if I would be available to come on as a
- 10 | consultant of Fieldwood Energy until they came out of
- 11 | bankruptcy and Fieldwood One was created.
- 12 || Q Okay, and then what did you do after that proposal was
- 13 | made?
- 14 | A I accepted the proposal and I went to work, I believe,
- 15 \parallel it was January 14th of 2021 for Fieldwood Energy as a
- 16 | consultant with primary responsibility to become familiar
- 17 | with the Fieldwood One properties, the Fieldwood Energy
- 18 | Organization and also have an opportunity, you know, to meet
- 19 | the people that would be involved in operating Fieldwood
- 20 | One.
- 21 | Q Okay. And have you, in fact, been doing that since
- 22 | that time?
- 23 || A Yes, I have. I've been given the opportunity to review
- 24 | the properties, sit down with the asset managers, review the
- 25 | fields, the active projects, the decommissioning folks.

Also, most of the major functional area managers, including the field operations folks as well as the vice president of operations.

4

5

6

7

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

I was also provided an updated reserve report and I get daily production reports on the Fieldwood One properties.

- Q All right, Mr. Graham, what do you understand the role of the Fieldwood One sole manager to be?
- 8 A Well the Fieldwood One sole manager is responsible for
 9 the operations and decommissioning of the Legacy Apache
 10 properties. With the mission of decommissioning these
 11 assets in a timely manner.
- 12 Q Okay, and what are the considerations that will go into 13 that decommissioning?
 - A Well, the primary responsibility of Fieldwood One is to decommission the non-producing properties and then maximize the cash flow from the producing properties to provide funds for future decommissioning.
 - Q All right. And now initially, I believe, the testimony has been that initially there will be Transition Services

 Agreement with the Credit Bid Purchaser. Are you aware of that?
- 22 A Yes, I am. There's a provision that Fieldwood Energy,
 23 Newco will provide contract operations for the Fieldwood One
 24 properties.
- 25 | Q And how will you be involved with respect to that

- 1 | Transition Services Agreement?
- 2 | A Well, it will be my responsibility to oversee the
- 3 | contract operator in the operations of Fieldwood One.
- $4 \parallel Q$ And do you have any ability to change the contract
- 5 | operator?
- 6 A Yes, I do. As sole manager, I have the right to change
- 7 | the contract operator. In fact, the LLC agreement for
- 8 | Fieldwood One stipulates that I have to bid out the contract
- 9 | operations to at least three credible companies to ensure
- 10 | that we're getting optimum cost for operations.
- 11 | Q And is that a role that you've performed before
- 12 | assuring yourself that you were getting the maximum cost and
- 13 | the maximum efficiency?
- 14 | A Yes. Throughout my career that has been my
- 15 | responsibility as an executive to make sure that operations
- 16 | were run safely, environmentally responsible, and
- 17 || economically efficient.
- 18 ||Q Okay, now do you still -- do you currently have any
- 19 | involvement with Apache Corporation?
- 20 A No, I left them in April of 2020.
- 21 | Q Do you own any Apache stock?
- 22 | A With my 25 years of employment with Apache, yes, I have
- 23 | accumulated some Apache stock.
- 24 | Q Okay, do you believe that having that stock is going to
- 25 | influence your decision making as the sole manager?

- 1 A No. It's a minor part of my net worth and as a 2 professional manager of Fieldwood One, my responsibility and
- 3 | loyalty is to Fieldwood One.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 4 | Q Now, are you aware that Apache has certain consent 5 | rights pursuant to the LLC agreement?
- 6 A That's my understanding. I think there's about 14 or 7 15 items that need Apache's consent.
- 8 Q And do you believe that those consent rights will 9 influence your ability to manage Fieldwood One with the 10 objective that you've set out?
- 11 A No, because I have complete responsibility of the
 12 decommissioning operations as well as the production
 13 operations of the producing properties.
- 14 Q All right, now in terms of compensation, how are you -15 how will you be compensated as the sole manager?
 - A According to the letter agreement that I signed, I'll have a base salary -- a monthly base salary. And then there are some long term incentives to -- if I fulfill this position for three years, there's a bonus stipulation and then another one at five years.
 - There's also a long term incentive to maximize the cash flow. And if we hit \$400 million of excess cash flow as defined in the standby credit agreement, there's an additional compensation available.
- 25 | Q And how would you achieve that \$400 million of excess

- 1 | cash flow?
- 2 | A By optimizing and maximizing the cash flow from the
- 3 producing properties.
- 4 | 0 And what about --
- 5 | A And also decommissioning the non-producing properties.
- 6 Q Okay. And will you have control over some of the
- 7 | expense items as well?
- 8 A Yes. My responsibility will be to manage not only the
- 9 production and the review, but also -- excuse me just a
- 10 | second. To manage the expenses and G&A involved with
- 11 | operating the Fieldwood One properties.
- 12 | Q Mr. Graham, do you believe that based on your
- 13 | experience and qualifications that you are qualified to do
- 14 | the tasks required of the Fieldwood One manager?
- 15 | A Yes, I have the background, the expertise to manage the
- 16 | properties. I've done it for many years. I have
- 17 | approximately 44 years of experience in the industry doing
- 18 | exactly what's been required of the Fieldwood One.
- 19 MR. PEREZ: Thank you, Your Honor. I have no
- 20 | further questions of this witness.
- 21 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Perez.
- 22 Are there any proponents of the Plan that have
- 23 | questions for Mr. Graham? If so, please press five star one
- 24 | time on your line.
- 25 | (Pause in the proceedings.)

```
1
              THE COURT: All right, are there any persons in
    opposition to confirmation of the Plan that have any
 2
 3
    questions for Mr. Graham?
 4
         (Pause in the proceedings.)
 5
              THE COURT: Mr. Eisenberg?
 6
              MR. EISENBERG: Yes, good afternoon, Your Honor.
7
   Philip Eisenberg. I am not here to oppose the Plan for
   ATCI. I wanted to alert the Court that we had been --
8
 9
   before the confirmation hearing started, we had made an
10
    arrangement with Debtors for them to make Mr. Graham
11
    available for testimony in our case.
              And they told me that they were going to put him
12
    on in direct and if I wanted to I could cross him. But we
13
   have reached our arraignments and TCI will not availing
14
    themselves of that and would be releasing Mr. Graham from
15
    our case-in-chief.
16
17
              I just wanted to, if Your Honor would indulge me,
   wish Mr. Graham luck. He's a very impressive man and I hope
18
19
   he gets all his --
20
              THE COURT: So you hope he gets a lot of his
21
    incentive payments?
22
              MR. EISENBERG: Yes, Your Honor. I do.
23
              THE COURT: All right. Mr. Eisenberg, thank you
24
    for the comment and the courtesy of releasing him.
25
              Is there any other party that has any questions
```

```
1
    for Mr. Graham? If so, you'll need to press five star one
    time on your phone, please.
 2
 3
         (Pause in the proceedings.)
 4
              THE COURT: Mr. Graham, I don't have any questions
 5
             Thank you for coming. You're welcome to observe
    the balance of the hearing if you wish and you're welcome to
 6
 7
    leave if you wish. It's public courtroom.
 8
              THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
 9
              THE COURT: Thank you, sir.
10
         (Witness excused.)
              THE COURT: Who's going to be the next witness,
11
12
   Mr. Perez?
              MR. PEREZ: Ms. Choi, I think is up next Your
13
14
   Honor.
15
              THE COURT: All right, Ms. Choi if you would press
16
    five star, please.
17
         (Pause in the proceedings.)
18
              THE COURT: Ms. Choi, good afternoon.
19
              MS. CHOI: Good afternoon, Your Honor, Erin Choi
20
    Weil, Gotshal & Manages on behalf of the Debtors. At this
    time I would like to call Mr. Clayton Green to testify in
21
    support of Plan Confirmation.
22
23
              THE COURT: Thank you.
24
              Mr. Green, could I get you to press five star one
25
    time on your phone, please, sir?
```

```
1
         (Pause in the proceedings.)
2
              THE COURT: Mr. Green, good afternoon. Would you
 3
   raise your right hand, please?
 4
         (Witness sworn.)
 5
              THE COURT: I couldn't hear that. You may have
 6
   your own line muted.
7
              THE WITNESS: I apologize, Your Honor. Yes, I do.
8
              THE COURT: Thank you sir.
9
              All right, Ms. Choi, go ahead, please.
10
                 DIRECT EXAMINATION OF CLAYTON GREEN
11
   BY MS. CHOI:
        Please state your name for the Record.
12
13
       My name is Clayton Green.
             MALE SPEAKER MALE: Your Honor, I'm having a hard
14
15
   time --
              THE COURT: Can I get you to move little closer to
16
17
   your mic?
18
              THE WITNESS: Is that better?
              THE COURT: It is. Even closer would be better.
19
20
              THE WITNESS: Okay. Is that loud enough?
21
              THE COURT: That is. Thank you.
22
              THE WITNESS: Okay.
23
              THE COURT: I'll look at your bald head as you
24
   bend over, Mr. Green.
25
              THE WITNESS: Exactly.
```

```
1
              THE COURT: Go ahead, please, Ms. Choi.
 2
   BY MS. CHOI:
 3
        Mr. Green, where are you currently employed?
 4
        With AlixPartners, LLP.
 5
         And how long have you been employed there?
 6
         Throughout my career for approximately 15 years.
7
         What position do you hold at AlixPartners?
         I'm a managing director.
8
 9
         And Mr. Green, what is your role in this bankruptcy?
         AlixPartners was retained as financial advisor to
10
11
   Fieldwood to assist the company with the bankruptcy
12
   proceeding.
        Mr. Green, are you here today as an expert witness?
13
        I'm not.
14
15
         Did you calculate how much to reserve for the claims
16
   reserve purposes in this case?
17
        Yes.
18
              MS. CHOI: Your Honor, if I may ask that you give
   control of the screen to Kevin Simmons?
19
20
              THE COURT: All right, Mr. Simmons, can I get you
21
    to turn your camera on just for a moment so I can find you?
22
    Thank you.
23
         (Pause in the proceedings.)
24
              THE COURT: All right, Mr. Simmons is now the
25
   presenter.
```

- 1 MS. CHOI: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 2 | BY MS. CHOI:
- Q If I may share on the screen a demonstrative that is ECF No. 1646-3, which is Debtor's Exhibit 108. This is a
- 5 demonstrative that was used yesterday.
- Mr. Green were you involved in the preparation of the numbers that are reflected on the left side of this demonstrative?
- 9 ||A Yes, I was.
- 10 Q And were you also involved in the preparation of 11 certain numbers on the right side of this demonstrative?
- 12 A Yes, I was. Just one line item on the right-hand side,
- 13 | the sale reserve costs.

company is dealing with.

14 | Q Thank you.

22

23

24

- And for the sale reserve cost, can you please explain
 to the Court what estimates -- what numbers are included in
 that estimate?
- A Yeah, for the sale reserve costs, it's made up of two
 separate categories of estimates. The first is accrued and
 unpaid taxes as of the emergence state. And the second is
 the amount calculated for personal injury matters that the
 - So the latter there's an estimate of \$1.4 million in that and that takes \$100,000 deductible and applies it to 14 personal injury matters that the company is handling at the

1 | present.

6

7

- 2 | Q And what else --
- A The second -- I was going to say, sorry, the second component of the sellers cost the accrued and unpaid taxes
- 5 there's an estimate of \$1.1-to-1.3 million in those numbers.
 - With respect to, again, taxes that are -- with the accrued or estimate the accrued and unpaid as of a potential emergence date.
- 9 Q Thank you.
- 10 And what creates the variability in this range in this 11 estimate?
- 12 A It's just a matter of an emergence date. And so to the 13 extent the company will emerge sometime in June, that
- 14 estimate was 1.1 million. If the company were to emerge
- 15 sometime in July, the estimate was 1.3 million as
- 16 | calculated.
- 17 Q So that amounts to what -- what amount did you 18 calculate for the sale reserve?
- 19 $\mid A \mid$ 2.5 on the low side and 2.7 million on the high side.
- 20 | Q Thank you.
- So turning now to the left side of this demonstrative, the claims reserve section, what categories did you include in the claims reserve?
- 24 A On the left-hand side of the page, each category that's 25 listed, the professional fee escrow, other exit fees and

- costs, cure amounts, 503(b)(9) claims. In addition, amounts estimated for allowed priority tax claims, allowed other priority claims, allowed other secured claims and finally the 6A cash coordinates.
- Now if we could just start walking through each of these categories? So for professional fees escrow, can you describe how you've calculated how much to escrow for professional fees?
 - A Yes, for professional escrow, the estimate is arrived by simply looking at historical invoices that have been sent to the company throughout the pendency of the case. And in looking at those invoices, identifying a high and a low range for invoices that have been submitted. And then to come up with an ultimate estimate that you see on the screen there is trying to identify or understand activity level by firm, as the case draws to the close, and what the amount outstanding would be as an emergence date and the amounts that will be funded into the escrow account.
 - Q Thank you.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- And based on those calculations, what was the high and low range of what Fieldwood needs to escrow for professional fees?
- 23 A On the low side, just over \$15 and half million and on 24 the high side, just over \$27 and half million.
- 25 | Q Turning to the next category, other exit fees and

1 | costs, what kinds of cost fit into this category?

2 | A It's largely the same as the line above with respect to

3 | how the calculation was made. It's primarily made up of

4 professional firms that would not be subjected to funds

5 | coming out of the escrow account.

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

However, there are other amounts in there. For instances, there are small amounts relating to (indiscernible) and we also have an amount for US Trustee fees that would be outstanding as of emergence as well.

- Q And the calculations what was the estimate for other exit fees?
- 12 A On the low side, just over 11-1/2 million and on the 13 high side, just under 18 million.
- 14 Q Turning next to the cure amounts, can you describe how 15 you calculated this category?
 - A Yes. Contract assumption schedules that were filed with the Court, what I did was identify any open pay structure -- well actually two steps if you'll allow me.

Initially the contract assumption schedules that were filed with the Court, we looked at the open payables for any of the contract counterparties for those contracts that are marked as assumed and had an estimate of about 500 -- excuse me, \$5-1/2 as a cure amount.

Subsequent to those Schedules being filed, the company received objections to cure amounts. Me and members of my

team looked at those objections and worked with the company to understand which of those objections are called out amounts that could potentially be held as pre-petition obligations associated with those contracts that are listed on the assumption schedule.

On the low side, identified about \$1.2 million additionally that we added to the estimates. And on the high side it was close to \$2 million that were added to the estimates.

So in total that's the number that you see there, it's 5-1/2 plus those objections on the low and high side, we arrive at \$6.8-to-7.5 million.

- Q Can you next describe how you calculated the reserve for the 503(b)(9) claims, please?
- A Yes. Claims that were asserted for administrative amounts, were reviewed by me or members of my team. We ran it through various -- I guess we could review it in a couple different ways.

First, of the claims that were asserted for administrative amounts and for 503(b)(9) amounts specifically, initially identified those claims that me or members of my team had marked as set for non-substantive objections.

And what I mean by that are claims that are duplicative -- literally duplicative, had been filed

```
multiple times or are redundant in nature or to the extent the claims had been amended over time -- amended with subsequently filed claims, that is.
```

We took those -- asserted amounts for those claims specifically and removed them from the estimate. With the claims that remain that had asserted 503(b)(9) amounts to the extent the proofs of claims also provided invoice detail, looked at the invoices to determine first, if the invoices were for physical goods and products as opposed to just services -- which is a requirement for 503(b)(9) claim. And second, identified per the invoices it the goods or products were delivered to the company within the 20 days prior to filing.

- Q Did you do anything else?
- ||A| With respect to the 503(b)(9) claims?
- 16 | O Yes.

- A If we did, it might be slipping my mind. I know that we removed non-substantive objections and any claims that were -- and any other asserted claims that we made adjustments for, that fall in the buckets of either, you know, having to require for physical -- requiring physical goods or products or were adjusted for the 20 days window.
- \parallel Q And what was the result of these adjustments?
- 24 A An estimate on low side about \$400,000 and high side about \$600,000.

- 1 | Q Turning next to the allowed priority tax liens. Can 2 | you please describe how you calculated this category?
- 3 $\mid A \mid$ Yes. Similar to the 503(b)(9) claims, me and members of
- 4 | my team reviewed any claims that were asserted for priority
- 5 | amounts and identified which of those claims were asserted
- 6 for outstanding tax liabilities. After performing that
- 7 | review, we only identified two claims -- well I guess before
- 8 | that review and also worked with the company and their tax
- 9 group to understand which amounts are asserted were either
- 10 | invalid or had already been paid or have not yet come due.
- 11 After performing that review, we calculated an
- 12 estimate. While there it looks like zero on the page, is on
- 13 | the high side it's about \$11,000. On the low side, it is
- 14 | zero.
- 15 \parallel Q Turning now to allowed other priority claims. Did you
- 16 | consider this category as well?
- 17 | A I did consider this category. As part of the review of
- 18 | any claims asserted for priority amounts me and members of
- 19 | my team, we did not identify any claims asserted for
- 20 | anything outside of tax that would warrant priority
- 21 I treatment under the Plan.
- 22 Q Thank you, Mr. Green. Now did you also look at a class
- 23 |of claims called, "allowed other secured claims?"
- 24 || A Yes.
- 25 ||Q| And how did you calculate for this group?

- A Additionally there was close to 1,000 claims filed
 against Fieldwood for -- in the neighborhood of \$45 billion.

 Just like with the 503(b)(9) and the priority claims, we
 identified the claims that were asserted for secured amounts
 to start -- to begin the analysis. And that population
 - Q Did you make any adjustments from there?

totaled to about \$15 billion.

A Yes. So, the \$15 billion was really the starting point to the secured claims estimates. We made adjustment from there and bucketed claims depending on what they were asserting.

But initially the adjustments to the entire population of \$15 billion claims, the first adjustment we made was, as I mentioned earlier with non-substantive objections in the 503(b)(9) claims, there were multiple claims filed for -- asserting for secured amounts that were also marked for non-substantive objections.

Again, those are claims that are duplicative or redundant in nature, claims that had been amended over time, claims were the certain claimant had agreed to a certain trade agreement.

So we removed those claims, the asserted amounts and we adjusted the total. That adjustment by itself was about \$11 billion.

Q And what adjustment, if any, did you make next?

- A We identified of the secured claims that were asserted,
 identified which ones were related to secured or bank debt
 and removed those from the estimates as well. That's about

 \$2 billion of asserted claims.
 - Q And why did you remove those?

6

7

8

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A My understanding of the Plan is that those -- the amounts owed to those parties are treated separately and are not to be included in the claims reserve.
- 9 Q What adjustment, if any, did you make from there?
- 10 A Identified claims that were to withdrawn. Certain of
 11 the claims that were asserted secured claims, agreed to
 12 withdraw their claims. And that amount added up to about
 13 \$750 million.

And there was one final adjustment that we made to the overall \$50 billion asserted, which was claims for -- claims served by taxing authorities or claims for royalty interest. And after investigation with the company, found that those claims are invalid. So we adjusted those out as well.

I think I mentioned that the withdrawn claims totaled \$750 million if I did not I apologize. But that was a \$750 million adjustment. The adjustment I just mentioned with respect to the tax and royalty claims is about \$1 million.

- Q So how much unsecured claims was left after these adjustments were made?
- 25 A In the range of a billion to a billion and one.

- Q Did you calculations stop there?
- 2 A No. As I mentioned earlier, we started to get into
- 3 claims depending on what was asserted and the nature of the
- 4 | asserted claim.

- And so, I arrived at three buckets. The first were claims asserted by working interest owners. That was about a billion dollars. The second bucket were claims that were
- 8 asserted for outstanding DIP amounts or pre-petition amounts
- 9 owed for gathering and transportation agreements.
- 10 And the third bucket were claims asserted by vendors
- 11 | where the vendor asserted a lien on the property. The
- 12 | bucket for the JIBS and gathering transportation agreements
- 13 | is about \$13 million. For the vendor asserted claims,
- 14 | totaled about \$22 million.
- 15 | Q Thank you.
- So let's talk first about the working interest owner
- 17 | bucket. The first bucket of the three. What kinds of
- 18 | claims did the working interest owners assert?
- 19 A They asserted three claims -- three types of claims
- 20 | primarily. So we created some buckets, if you will. The
- 21 | first type of asserted claim was for P&A obligations.
- 22 The second bucket or some bucket, I should say, was
- 23 | with respect to cash advances to the operator or cash calls.
- 24 | And the third bucket or the third sum bucket was claims
- 25 | filed with respect to the underlying vendor liens.

```
Q And --
```

5

6

7

10

11

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: I'm sorry I missed that last word.

Something filed with respect to the underlying indemnity,

you said?

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, Your Honor. Underlying vendor liens. These are claims that were asserted by --

THE COURT: Yeah, I just didn't hear the word.

8 | Thank you.

9 | BY MS. CHOI:

- Q Mr. Green, what steps did you take to calculated the secured working interest owner claims?
- Of the \$1 billion that were asserted, we made 12 13 adjustments to similar tax and highlights in the other categories. The first thing just sort of going in order, 14 15 was looking at the claims asserted for contingent fee 16 allegations. There was claims asserted total about \$950 17 million. According to the Plan and step we took in the 18 estimation was to remove those claims asserted for 19 contingency obligations entirely. And that was an
 - Q What did you do next?

adjustment of about \$950 million.

A With respect to the claims asserted for cash advances to the operator or cash calls, there was one claim asserted for just over \$2 million by Valero. It alleged the right to secured claims via right to set off.

My understanding of the claim and the situation with Valero is that no additional funding would be required to claims reserve regardless of how the -- regardless of the outcome of that claim.

And so, we removed that amount from the estimate and total as well. Again, that was about a \$2 million adjustment.

- Q And then what did you do with respect to the third sub-bucket?
- A The claims asserted for underlying vendor liens -totally asserted was about \$70 million. Me and members of
 my team look at each one of those claims that were asserted
 and the proofs of claims themselves provided detail with
 respect to the actual vendors that made up the claim.

And in looking at that detail we were able to identify basically two adjustments to those asserted amounts. The first, although the claims were filed by different working interest owners, but in certain cases, they would assert the same amount for the same vendor obligation.

And so we removed from the estimates the amounts that were duplicative in the sense that they were filed for the same amount with the same vendor in case there were multiple proofs of claims.

Making that sort of duplicate adjustment, backed out \$35 million from the asserted total of underlying vendor

||lien claims.

And another thing in looking at the underlying vendor lien claims is identifying which of those vendors had signed trade agreements with the company and removing those asserted amounts from the estimate as well. And that adjustment added up to \$20 million -- roughly \$20 million.

- Q What did you do after that?
- A That left about \$14 million with respect to the4 underlying vendor lien claims asserted after the duplicate and trade agreement adjustments.

For the purposes of this estimate, we calculated a low estimate for the underlying vendor lien claims as zero and a high estimate for the full \$14 million.

My understanding is that there's a decision yet to be rendered on the underlying vendor lien claims and to the extent or whether or not those other claims will be fully extinguished through the Plan or not.

If not, the \$14 million is in the high total. If they will be extinguished in their entirety, zero is where it comes into account for the low estimate.

- Q Thank you. So turning to then the second bucket, the JIB and gathering agreement bucket. What analysis or calculations did you perform with respect to this bucket?
- 24 A The JIB and gathering transportation agreement bucket, 25 excuse me, was about \$13 million asserted. The first item

that was identified were any outstanding -- any JIB's

amounts that were claimed or asserted or had already been

paid throughout the pendency of the case. Or the company's

books and records did not match what was -- what had been

asserted in the proof of claim.

So Collin just wasn't showing up in the company's books and records. We made that adjustment and that was an adjustment of about \$7 million off the \$13 million total.

- Q Did you make any other adjustments?
- A Yes. With respect to -- yes with the JIB, yes we did.

 So we identified certain claims that were disputed -- excuse

 me that were duplicated with the JIB amount. And that
- 14 \parallel Q And did -- sorry, go ahead.

6

7

8

9

13

15

16

17

- A Yes, we did. One more adjustment with respect to the Valero claim which is bucketed in this claim, we removed \$2 million that was asserted.
- 18 \parallel Q So what was the result of these adjustments?

amount was about \$1 million that we adjusted out.

- 19 A We came up with an estimate for the JIB -- the JIB
- 20 | amounts are anywhere from \$600,000 to about \$30 million.
- 21 And the difference between the high and the low. My
- 22 || understanding that the company in conversations with certain
- 23 | parties that asserted secured claims for JIB or gathering
- 24 | transportation amounts, to the extent agreements were
- 25 | reached and payments were made to satisfy these claims. We

1 | removed those balances from the low case.

To the extent they are not, then their resolution -
there's no resolution arrived before the emergence date,

there's an answer in the high case.

- Q Thank you. Turning now to the third and final bucket, the vendor bucket. How much was asserted in these vendor claims?
- 8 A The amount that was asserted in the claims was about 9 \$23 million. And in similar fashion we made adjustments to 10 that as well.
- 11 || Q What adjustments did you make?

5

6

7

21

22

23

24

- 12 A First for the vendor claims, we identified any claims
 13 asserted by vendor that had agreed to unsecured treatment.
- And we removed those claims from the total -- the total stimate. And that was about a \$14 million adjustment.
- 16 Q Did you make any additional adjustments?
- A Yes, any claims that were asserted for amounts that the company did not reflect in their books and records, we adjusted that amount out as well. And that was an adjustment of about \$2 million.
 - Q And were there any further adjustments?
 - A With respect to the claims that were filed with the vendors, and if the claims still remained in the estimate -- if I could say it that way -- they still remain in the estimate after those first two adjustments I

1 | mentioned.

In looking at the proofs of claim, vendors often times will provide invoice support and identify which of the properties the claims were being asserted against.

As we looked at the properties specifically, we look at the mortgage date of those properties and compare that to the MSA date of the vendor that is asserting the claim.

(Indiscernible) to the mortgage date, preceded the MSA date.

We removed those amounts from the claim yesterday. And that was another adjustment of about \$2 million.

- Q And were there any additional adjustments or was that all of them?
- A There was one more -- one that we made in addition, which is looking at the properties individually. To the extent the properties had an estimated PV10 value that was negative, we removed those amounts asserted against those specific properties as well. That adjustment was about \$600,000.
- 19 | Q And why did you use the PV10 estimated?
 - A The PV10 report was provided to us from the company to the extent that there was a negative value found in the PV10 report for a specific property, again, made the conclusion that the security interest didn't have any value to it and so adjusted that amount out of the estimate for the secured claims.

- Q So what was the resulting estimate for this vendor bucket after you made these adjustments?
- 3 | A The vendor bucket didn't have a low, high range on it.
- 4 \parallel It was just -- it was right at \$3 million.
- $5 \parallel Q$ And what was the overall then result of your
- 6 | calculations after you performed all these adjustments we
- 7 || just discussed?
- 8 A In taking those three buckets added together after all
- 9 of the adjustments we came up with the range that you see on
- 10 | the screen of \$4 million in a low case and \$21 million on
- 11 | the high.
- 12 | Q And is there a single source for most of the difference
- 13 between the four and the 21 million?
- 14 | A Primarily it's a zero to \$14 million range that we
- 15 | talked about with the underlying vendor liens. Again, in
- 16 | the low case having zero to the extent that vendor claims
- 17 | are extinguished through the Plan.
- In the high case, they're full \$14 million which is the
- 19 | asserted amounts for their vendor claims -- underlying
- 20 | vendor lien claims, excuse me. It has the full amount in
- 21 | the high case estimate.
- 22 | Q Thank you, Mr. Green.
- 23 | Let's turn next to Class 6A cash pool. Can you
- 24 described how you calculated this 6A claims?
- 25 A Yes. The 6A cash pool amount is made up of claims

asserted by trade vendors that opted in to Class 6A. We received a report from Prime Clerk, indicating which trade vendors chose to participate in Class 6A.

Looking at the asserted claims and matching that to the company's books and records, the estimate has in the low case about \$8 million of trade claim. And in the high case about \$11 million.

To arrive at the estimate of 1.2 to 1.7 we applied the 14 percent recovery that I understand is outlying the Plan.

And the 14 percent, multiple that low and high -- low case of 8 and high case of 11 gets you to about the 1.2 to 1.7.

- Q And so then what is the total amount that Fieldwood needs for its claims reserve based on all these
- 14 | calculations?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

- A Based on all those calculations, the estimated claims reserve is calculated to be 39.4 million to 76.1 million.
- 17 | Q Thank you.
- Mr. Green, did you also review claims for holders in Class 1 that voted to reject the Plan?
- 20 | A Yes.
- 21 | Q And if I call it the company's rejecting the claimants, 22 | will you understand what I mean by that term?
- 23 | A Yes.
- 24 | Q So which companies were rejecting the Plan?
- 25 A There were seven parties in total. It was Conoco,

- Burlington, land and exploration, Ecopetrol, Valero, W&T and McMoRan.
- 3 Q And did you calculate an estimated amount potentially 4 owed to these rejecting claimants?
- $5 \parallel A$ Yes.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- 6 Q So, what did you do to calculate this?
- A Look at each of the claims that were asserted and
 depending on what the claim was asserted for, made
 adjustments for the estimate depending on either company
 books and records or depending on what the claim was

asserted for, remove them from the estimate entirely.

- Q So starting with Valero. I believe we spoke about this a moment ago. But if you could just remind the Court what calculation you determined with respect to Valero?
 - A Yes, for Valero, the amount that was asserted, \$2.1 million, was removed in its entirety from the estimate again, because my understanding of the outcome of that claim regardless of what happens, no additional funding will be required to reserve.
- 20 || Q What about Ecopetrol?
- 21 A Ecopetrol is working interest owner that filed a claim 22 that we had included in the bucket for underlying vendor 23 liens. And so in total that claim was asserted for \$7 24 million.
- 25 The first adjustment that was made was understanding

which of those vendors that make up the claim had trade agreement. And that took that claim from \$7 million asserted down to about \$5.8 million.

And then my understanding of the JOA, is that the claims only secured for the Debtor's portion share of the property. And so, applying that proportionate share to the balance of 5.8 million would arise at an estimated value of \$325 million.

- Q And with respect to the remaining rejecting claimants, what did you do to calculate amounts potentially owed to them?
- A The remaining other seven -- of the group of seven, the remaining claims were asserted for continuing P&A obligations.

As discussed earlier, those amounts were removed in total from the claims adjustment.

Q Thank you for your time, Mr. Green.

MS. CHOI: No further questions.

THE COURT: Mr. Green, on the rejecting claimant amounts, where would I see those on this sheet? Where does the cash part --

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Where's the cash requirement appear on the sheet that we were looking at? Is it here for the rejected claimants?

```
THE WITNESS: For specifically the rejected
1
    claimants, Ecopetrol's amount would be factored into the
 2
 3
    other secured claims within the range of 4 to $21 million.
 4
              And all the rest of the --
 5
              THE COURT: So that's already -- so the amount
 6
   that you're talking about for rejected claimants, there was
7
   no amount over and above what was already listed in the
    other amounts?
8
9
              THE WITNESS: That is correct.
10
              THE COURT: Okay. I just wanted to -- I couldn't
11
    see where it filled in here and if it was incorporated in
12
   here, that's fine.
              All right is there any proponent of the Plan that
13
   has any questions for Mr. Green? If so, please press five
14
15
   star one time.
         (Pause in the proceedings.)
16
17
              THE COURT: All right, is there any party in
18
    opposition to confirmation that has any questions for
   Mr. Green?
19
20
         (Pause in the proceedings.)
21
              THE COURT: Mr. Green, thank you. Once again,
22
    you're more than welcome -- oh wait, we do have someone.
23
   Let me see who we have. Hold on.
24
         (Pause in the proceedings.)
25
              THE COURT: Mr. Singer?
```

MR. SINGER: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Kelly
Singer on behalf of Ecopetrol. I just had a question for
the witness and before I get into that, we have -- status
update. We have just negotiated consensual language that I
thought -- I think resolves Ecopetrol's objection.

But some of the testimony has caused me to ask some questions about that, so. If I may ask a question of the witness a couple questions?

THE COURT: Of course.

CROSS-EXAMINATION OF CLAYTON GREEN

BY MR. SINGER:

6

7

8

9

10

11

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 12 | Q Mr. Green, you had testified that you had estimated
 13 | Ecopetrol's claims to be somewhere around \$3 million based
 14 | on the value of its working interest, correct?
- 15 A Yes. Although just to clarify, with respect to the
 16 low, high estimates, we've have in there whole range of
 17 anything from zero dollars all the way up to the total
 18 asserted amounts.
 - Q Okay, so is it your understanding that if the operating agreement associated with Ecopetrol is assumed, that the amount to be paid is whatever the amount that is owed under that agreement? It's not associated with the value of the working interest, correct?
 - A I don't -- my understanding is with respect to the decision, I believe, on the United Maritime matter is in

```
1
   direct connection with the Ecopetrol claim as well.
         I don't know that I have, honestly an opinion or answer
2
 3
   for you on how it's going to turn out. Just enough to say
 4
    that anywhere from zero dollars to the total asserted
    amounts are in the claims estimate.
 5
 6
        Okay.
7
              MR. SINGER: Your Honor, I don't think I have any
8
   other questions.
9
              THE COURT: Mr. Singer, thank you. Does any other
   party have any questions for Mr. Green?
10
11
         (No audible response.)
              Mr. Green, thank you for attending. You're
12
   welcome to stay, it's a public hearing. You're welcome to
13
14
   go about your business.
              THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
15
16
         (Witness is excused.)
17
              THE COURT: Ms. Choi, who's going to be the next
18
   witness?
19
             MR. GENENDER: Your Honor, Paul Genender for the
   Debtors. Our next witness is J.P. Hanson. However, Your
20
   Honor he is not available until 5:00 o'clock. And I
21
22
   apologize for that, but that is just -- he had things he
```

could not move until 5:00 and we didn't know how quickly

these witnesses were going to go and what the cross was

23

24

25

going to be on them.

```
1
              So I'm open to any ideas as to how to proceed.
 2
    Other than proceeding at 5:00 o'clock.
 3
              THE COURT: Is he also your -- is he your last
 4
    witness?
 5
              MR. GENENDER: Yes, Your Honor.
 6
              THE COURT: All right. I'm going to leave your
 7
    direct case open. And now I'm going to ask if there's
    anyone that wishes to present any further evidence in
 8
 9
    support of confirmation knowing that there will still be
    another witness coming. You'll need to press five star.
10
         (Pause in the proceedings.)
11
12
              And now as to any -- there are none. I'm going to
    show that the cases in chief have rested with the right to
13
    still call Mr. Hanson; is that correct?
14
15
              MR. GENENDER: Yes, Your Honor.
16
              THE COURT: And I'm not going to ask for the
17
    parties that oppose confirmation, you're option. You can
18
   proceed right now and call some witnesses or you can wait
    until after Mr. Hanson. I'm not going to make you go out of
19
    order.
20
21
              But if somebody wants to, I'm going to let you go
22
    out of order. So I'll just leave it up to each party as to
23
    what they wish to do.
24
              Why don't you press five star if you want to go
25
   now to put on your witnesses?
```

```
1
              MR. GENENDER: And Your Honor, I forgot to warn
   Mr. Singer about the one hour rule.
 2
 3
              THE COURT: Well, I assume he doesn't need his
 4
    witness any more given that you made a deal with him.
 5
    But, Mr. Singer, you have your hour's notice if you want it.
              Mr. Duewall, go ahead.
 6
 7
              Are you going to call anybody, Mr. Singer, or are
 8
    you done?
 9
              MR. SINGER: No, Your Honor, I just wanted to say
    that I do believe that we do have a resolution on the
10
    language so I don't think there's any point for me of
11
12
    calling my witness or pursuing my objection, subject to how
    things play out here.
13
14
              THE COURT: Thank you.
              MR. SINGER: But we do have resolution and I
15
    believe Ridgewood, Mr. Fishel's client does, as well.
16
17
              THE COURT: Thank you.
18
              Mr. Duewall, you've got the floor at this point.
    I think you have your own line muted though.
19
20
         (Pause in the proceedings.)
              THE COURT: Well, let me try that again.
21
         (Pause in the proceedings.)
22
23
              THE COURT: If there's someone else's line I
24
    unmuted, I thought it was Mr. Duewall, but before you talk,
25
    Mr. Duewall, is there anyone else that I've already unmutued
```

```
your line that wishes to address the Court?
 1
 2
         (Pause in the proceedings.)
 3
              MR. BAINS: Yes, Your Honor, good afternoon this
 4
    is Brandon Bains, counsel for Travelers, Liberty Mutual,
 5
    Hanover, and Excel.
 6
              I was going to use just a little bit of this time
 7
    to do a minor housekeeping matter. We had agreed with the
    Debtors on the admissibility of some exhibits. And we just
 8
 9
    wanted to offer those as part of the evidence and take care
10
    of that while we had a little bit of a lull. If that was
11
    okay with the Court.
12
              THE COURT: All right, can you identify the
    exhibits that you think you have a stipulation on?
13
              MR. BAINS: Yes, sir. It is Docket 1631 and it's
14
15
    going to be 1631-1 and 1631-2. And those are the bonds and
    GIA's I believe that Ms. Choi confirmed the stipulation as
16
    to admissibility.
17
18
              THE COURT: All right, has the Debtor stipulated
    to the admission of 1631-1 and -2?
19
20
              MS. CHOI: Erin Choi on behalf of the Debtors.
21
              Yes, Your Honor, we stipulate to that.
22
              THE COURT: Is there any party that objects to
23
    1631 or 2?
24
         (No audible response.)
25
              THE COURT: Okay, they are both admitted.
```

```
(ECF 1631-1 and 1632-2 received in evidence.)
 1
              THE COURT: Mr. Bain, anything else? Thank you.
 2
 3
              MR. BAINS: No, sir, Your Honor, thank you very
 4
    much.
 5
              And thank you, Ms. Choi.
 6
              THE COURT: Thank you.
 7
              Mr. Duewall, sorry about the confusion on that. I
 8
    think you're live now.
 9
              MR. DUEWALL: Thank you, Your Honor. Can you hear
10
   me?
11
              THE COURT: I can, thank you.
              MR. DUEWALL: Would the Court be agreeable to give
12
    an estimated five or 10 minutes to make a strategic decision
13
    as to whether or not we call our witness now and if we do,
14
15
    to get set up?
16
              THE COURT: Sure.
17
             MR. DUEWALL: So I can confirm with my other
18
    counsel.
19
              THE COURT: Yeah, I'll give you time to get set
20
    up, too.
              I've got a couple other people that may be making
21
22
    some elections and let me see what they want to do, but why
23
    don't you take some time and we'll just get back to you?
24
              MR. DUEWALL: Thank you, Your Honor.
25
              THE COURT: Thank you. All right, Mr. Baay, you
```

had pressed your five star, but had apparently pressed it a second time.

Press it one more time, please. There we go.

Mr. Baay, go ahead, please.

MR. BAAY: Thank you, Your Honor.

If it will help from a timing standpoint, we are still working with Debtors' Counsel on trying to make an arrangement with respect to our witnesses -- if we can get that hammered out.

We would be available to start at 4:00 o'clock.

We would have at least three witnesses and I'm sure -- I

mean, I would think that they would be pretty much five

minutes. So if that will help from everybody's schedule to

fill that gap, we're happy to do it if we can start at 4:00.

I just need to get them a heads up to everybody, so.

THE COURT: I think 4:00 will work fine. I think that works. So, if you can work things out; otherwise, that's fine. Otherwise, you can call your witnesses at 4:00 unless we're in the middle of another witness, but sure.

MR. BAAY: Maybe if I could get (indiscernible) or not that would be great.

THE COURT: Well let's go ahead and get -Mr. Fishel wanted to make an announcement. Let me get him
back on.

Mr. Fishel, go ahead.

MR. FISHEL: Good afternoon, Your Honor, Michael Fishel from Sidley on behalf of Ridgewood and ILX. And I meant to chime in earlier after Mr. Singer, but Ridgewood and ILX also have an agreement with the Debtors. And subject to seeing the Confirmation Order. So I just did want to confirm that.

THE COURT: All right. Does anyone else intend to introduce any witnesses at this stage either before or after we hear from Mr. Hanson?

(No audible response.)

THE COURT: Okay, we're going to come back at 4:00 for Mr. Baay and I'm going to wait here for just a minute or two and let's see what Mr. Duewall wants to do.

And Mr. Duewall, if you want to wait and make your announcement at 4:00, that's fine as well.

Let me go ahead, though, and talk to you-all about the schedule. It's not anything I need to leave sharply for, but I was planning on adjourning around 6:00. So we're not going to go till 8:00.

But if we're in the middle of a witness that we can finish, you know, at 6:15 or 6:20, I can make people wait for me.

With respect to tomorrow morning, I'm hoping that we will finish the evidence and do closing arguments starting in the morning. And I doubt we have a problem with

```
that given what people are telling me on time.
 1
              So I would suggest that we start at 9:45 in the
 2
 3
            And want to know if anyone is going to have a
 4
    conflict with starting at 9:45 in the morning?
 5
         (Pause in the proceedings.)
 6
              MR. PEREZ: That's perfect, Your Honor. Thank
 7
    you.
 8
              THE COURT: Let's just stick around a minute until
 9
   Mr. Duewall can decide what he wants to do. We're taking a
10
    break at 3:00 no matter what because I've got another case I
11
    need to call. And then we can either call Mr. Duewall's
    witness a little before 4:00 o'clock or after the
12
13
    5:00 o'clock.
             Mr. Genender?
14
15
              MR. GENENDER: Your Honor, well what I was going
   to say I don't want to say if Mr. Duewall is not on.
16
17
    Because it relates to some logistics that he and I were
18
    discussing. So I'll just hold my thought.
              THE COURT: He's back. He's back.
19
20
              MR. DUEWALL: I'm back, Your Honor. I'm happy
21
    to -- let's start with Mr. Genender.
22
              MR. GENENDER: I think we're still -- I was just
23
   going to -- I was just going to say that I understood -- it
24
   might make sense for Mr. Duewall and I to speak off line.
25
    But I understood as related to Mr. Hanson that Mr. Duewall
```

doesn't have an objection to Mr. Hanson coming in by his Declaration.

And that was news to me. Which is okay, welcome news. But if that's the case, I want to -- I'd like to have some guidance if anyone else has an objection to that. So that I can plan accordingly.

We probably would have a little bit of live direct, but it would not be very much compared to what it would have been.

MR. DUEWALL: That is correct, Your Honor.

Mr. Genender and I did conference before the hearing last week and it was our position then and remains now that

Mr. Hanson's Declaration was acceptable.

There are other parties that have since settled that were objecting to him being brought by Declaration. So I'm happy to confer with Mr. Genender during the break and try to shorten up that presentation as much as possible.

I might have a short cross-examination, but I think we can get through that relatively quickly unless someone else is still objecting.

THE COURT: Thank you.

And I think he said he's also going to have a direct to add on to the Declaration. Just so you -- I'm not sure you heard that.

So while you were conferring, Mr. Baay is going to

call his witnesses starting at 4:00. Why don't I let you take as much time as you want and you can decide when Mr. Baay is finished with his witnesses, whether you want to proceed or whether you want to do it after Mr. Hanson?

I'm not going to work until 8:00 tonight. If we get to a stopping point, you know, around 6:00, we'll then resume tomorrow morning at 9:45 and let all the witnesses finish. At which point, we'll do closing arguments.

Does all that fit with your schedule, Mr. Duewall?

MR. DUEWALL: Yes, Your Honor. I think starting

our witness or coming back and doing it at 4:00 is perfectly

all right. I think that works better for us. We've

provided language to the Debtors yesterday and then we tried

to connect with them many times yesterday.

I know we went long. We tried to connect with them and had a short discussion with them this morning. So I think if the parties can continue to -- we'll keep our telephone lines open to work that commercial resolution and maybe there may not be a need for our witness at 4:00. I think -- we're waiting on language back from (indiscernible) and over the break we might be able to make some progress.

THE COURT: It's a long break so hopefully you can. I suspect if you put Ms. Hine (phonetic) and Mr. Carlson on the phone, they can work out anything between the two of them.

MS. HEYEN: Yes, Your Honor. We've had a conversation with Weil and the secured lenders this morning. They promised that they would get us their proposed language this morning.

We're standing by. We're happy to have a further conversation. We're happy to, you know, of course, see the language, but we are waiting on that language.

We also understand from the lenders this morning that there has been additional language that has been worked out with the Government. We're also supposed to receive that language so that will help resolve some of the issues for Your Honor and some of the other parties.

We have not seen that yet, nor have we seen the Confirmation Order that we were supposed to receive a copy of that this morning as well.

So there are some outstanding items I think that would help, you know, streamline this afternoon, this evening. So we're still waiting for their, we're waiting.

THE COURT: Great. So look it may make some sense and it's just going to be something you'll have to control because I have no idea how that's going.

If you choose to wait until after Mr. Hanson testifies in chief you can then either work things out over night or call your witnesses starting at 9:45 in the morning. So I'm not going to insist you proceed this

afternoon.

And if you think you're on the cup of getting the lip of the cup of getting something done, then we might as well let you start at 9:45.

We'll have a couple of interruptions if we go into the afternoon, but it's -- we will definitely finish closing arguments tomorrow if we start witnesses at 9:45.

So I'm just going to let it up to you. And you can surprise me when we're done with Mr. Baay's witnesses at 4:00 as to which of those makes the most sense. How's that.

MALE SPEAKER: Fine, Your Honor.

THE COURT: From --

MALE SPEAKER: Your Honor, may I -- oh, I apologize.

THE COURT: I've got a couple other people that want to schedule things maybe.

From 713-228-4101, who do we have?

MR. OKIN: Your Honor, that's Matthew Okin. I was actually just going to chime in and let you know since we're the next hearing, if it helps with scheduling that we're going to be very quick. So if you need more time.

THE COURT: I appreciate that, but I think we'll start you on time is what it's looking like, Mr. Okin. Will you be ready on time?

MR. OKIN: We'll be ready, but we're probably

going to ask you to push us out a week and I'll tell you where we are. But again what I'm saying you don't need to wait until 4:00 to start again.

THE COURT: Yeah, no they need to wait until 4:00 so I appreciate that. But we'll go ahead and call you on time 3:00 and if you give me some time to breath, that would be a nice way to spend part of today. So thank you.

And then Mr. Kuebel had something he wanted to add. Go ahead, Rick.

MR. KUEBEL: Good afternoon, Your Honor. We still have our open case on exculpation and releases we had provided some language to the Debtor. Haven't heard back.

But the reason I raised my hand that particularly with respect to oral argument tomorrow on closing arguments. We had not seen the Confirmation Order, a draft and even if we are able to get to the right place on our language reservation, still have not seen how it fits in with the balance of the Confirmation Order.

And I don't know exactly where the Debtor stands with that, but I think it would be important to all the parties to have an opportunity to review the proposed Confirmation Order in conjunction with and in advance of closing arguments.

THE COURT: Or I could sign the official form of Confirmation Order.

Hopefully they will get you that so that we have due process. I'll definitely not -- I'm not going to make you consent to things you haven't seen with an opportunity to digest them.

It may -- I think we will still start with closing arguments at 9:45. We may just not finish until after you've had a reasonable opportunity to see the Confirmation Order itself.

So let's think of those as potentially divisible, but hopefully you'll get a Confirmation Order soon, very soon.

In fairness to the Debtors, by the way, because I understand the frustration. I've been there. They've had a lot of moving pieces. So getting a final Confirmation Order is probably pretty hard over the last, you know, 12 hours.

But they need to get you one. And they need to get you one with a reasonable opportunity to review it and to digest it before they ask me to sign. So we'll get there.

MR. KUEBEL: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Unless -- Mr. Genender, you had one other thing you wanted to add to that?

MR. GENENDER: Not to that, Your Honor, but in connection with Mr. Hanson's testimony and as a follow on to Mr. Duewall's courtesy about his Declaration.

```
1
              It would be helpful to the Debtors if we -- for
    the Record his Declaration is Debtor's Exhibit 52,
 2
 3
    ECF 1620-1. It would be helpful if we knew if anyone had an
 4
    objection to that coming in. If I can ask that now.
 5
              THE COURT: Well, why don't you just -- let's just
    do this in the real way? I'm going to adjourn the hearing
 6
 7
    at 3:00 until 4:00 and your witness isn't going to be called
    live until 5:00.
 8
 9
              You've not called him as a witness. You're
10
    offering his Declaration. Let's see if anyone objects to --
11
              MR. GENENDER: Thank you, Your Honor.
12
              THE COURT: -- 1620-1. Does any one object to
    1620-1 as coming in as substantive evidence, subject to
13
    cross-examination at today's hearing? If so please press
14
15
    five star on your line.
16
         (No audible response.)
17
              THE COURT: 1620-1 is admitted as substantive
18
    evidence at today's hearing.
19
              We're now adjourning --
         (Exhibit 1620-1 is received in evidence.)
20
21
              MR. GENENDER: Thank you Judge.
22
              THE COURT: We're adjourning the Fieldwood hearing
23
    until 4:00 o'clock. At 4:00 o'clock, Mr. Baay will have the
    opportunity to call his witnesses. When he is complete with
24
25
    that, if it is before 5:00 by a reasonable period of time,
```

we're then going to give Mr. Duewall the option of either calling his witnesses or waiting until Mr. Hanson.

Then Mr. Hanson will be called at 5:00 or upon conclusion of Mr. Duewall's witnesses whichever is correct order given Mr. Duewall's option.

And then at that point we're going to adjourn 6:00, 6:15 something like. And then we'll resume at 9:45 in the morning. You will not have interruptions prior to lunch, from the 9:45 and then I don't know how long the 1:30 Sanchez hearing is, but usually those are long. So we might have to come back in mid afternoon to finish if we're not done with closing arguments.

Since I think you've been picking off objecting parties, Mr. Perez, it may be we don't need the same 2-1/2 hours for closing that we did for opening. But I'm also not going to cut people off. This is hard and it's complicated and people are going to be allowed to take as much time as they need at closing arguments.

So no one needs -- you know, I would appreciate your making closing arguments that are concise. I'm not encouraging the contrary. But no one needs to worry about getting cut off of the hearing.

I'm going to hear this until we're done with it and I understand it.

MR. PEREZ: And Your Honor, maybe you won't say

```
you haven't seen so many closing arguments before.
1
2
              THE COURT: I never had seen that many opening
   arguments. It was an Isgur record. I'm sure it's not a
 3
 4
    real record. But it's an Isgur record. But we'll see what
 5
   happens.
              MALE SPEAKER: And, Your Honor, we're all glad to
 6
7
   know that Mr. Perez is going to make us work until midnight
8
    tonight.
9
              THE COURT: What happens at midnight?
10
              MALE SPEAKER: I said we're glad to know that
   Mr. Perez is going to make us work until midnight tonight
11
12
    like he almost did last night.
13
              THE COURT: Well if you don't get the damn
   confirmation out, you don't get to go to sleep at midnight.
14
         (Pause in the proceedings.)
15
              THE COURT: All right, we're adjourning for three
16
17
   minutes. At 3:00 o'clock, we will call Mr. Okin's case.
18
              Thank you.
19
              MR. PEREZ: Thank you, Your Honor.
20
         (Recess taken from 2:56 p.m. to 3:59 p.m.)
21
                            AFTER RECESS
22
              THE COURT: All right. We're going to go back on
23
    the Record in the Fieldwood case.
24
              Mr. Baay.
25
              MR. BAAY: (No audible response)
```

```
1
              THE COURT: Mr. Baay.
 2
              MR. BAAY: Can you hear me?
 3
              THE COURT: I can. Thank you.
 4
              MR. BAAY: Thank you, Your Honor. During the
 5
    break, we have worked out an agreement with Debtors' counsel
 6
    to adjourn our particular discreet issue for a hearing at a
 7
    separate date over the next couple of weeks. So we are not
    going to call our witnesses at this time and we'll reserve
 8
 9
    our evidence until that hearing.
10
              THE COURT: And what about your confirmation
11
    objection?
12
              MR. BAAY: We are withdrawing our confirmation
    objection subject to a hearing, Your Honor. So we are not
13
    going to object to confirmation as long as we get a hearing
14
    on our issue, and the Court can decide our issue and we will
15
16
    let the chips fall where they may.
17
              THE COURT: That's fine, Mr. Baay.
18
              MR. PEREZ: So, Your Honor, --
19
              THE COURT: Mr. Perez, go ahead.
20
              MR. PEREZ: Yeah.
                                 Just to give it a little bit of
21
    context, Your Honor, as the Court is aware, several months
22
    ago you heard the dispute as to the Oarie (phonetic). And
23
    so I think our agreement is that we will endeavor to get
24
    that dispute resolved before the Plan goes effective. And
25
    determining on how the Court rules, then with respect to the
```

```
operating agreement it'll be either assumed or rejected, depending on the Court's ruling. So what we would need to do is to get a date certain from the Court, you know, early, you know, certainly by the 15th of July, if possible, to get a -- so that we can have some definition on that before we go effective.
```

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Baay and Mr. Perez, are you all available July the 8th at 1:30 in the afternoon?

MR. PEREZ: Should ask Ms. Choi and Mr. Carlson but I suspect they are.

THE COURT: I suspect they just became free with that statement. Mr. Baay, how about you?

MR. BAAY: That one's good for me. I will hopefully by -- our witnesses are available, that's a good date for me --

THE COURT: Okay. If you guys have witness availability problems or seriously if Weil Gotshal doesn't have enough lawyers to attend the hearing, whatever comes up, we'll move it to another day. But let me give you that day and then you all can contact Ms. Do if you need to move it.

MR. PEREZ: All right. And we'll -- I will -- and we'll work together with -- and Mr. Baay to figure out what additional pleadings need to be filed so that we can frame the issues. I think the Court got a flavor for it last time

but we will do that as well.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Ms. Heyen or Mr. Duewall. I'm not sure who that is.

MR. DUEWALL: Thank you, Your Honor. It is Craig
Duewall on behalf of BP reporting back to the Court. Our
phone lines remain open but no comments or language has yet
been received. We are, however, prepared to go forward with
our witness at this time in order to try to make a push to
get this finished today. So that is our Plan.

One housekeeping matter before we get there is that before we broke, during the last break we were discussing Exhibit 1620-1, which is the Declaration of Mr. Hanson. I must admit, Your Honor, I didn't realize I didn't have it front of me that attached to that Declaration were his two expert reports, and we had admitted those yesterday as demonstratives, and just wanted to confirm with the Court that we were — that they were manning — were continuing to be admitted only for demonstrative purposes. Otherwise, I don't have an objection to the 1620-1 that we were discussing previously.

THE COURT: And, Mr. Genender, I think that was you that was handling that, don't have your line active. I just need you to confirm that that was the admission that you were offering. Can you -- there we go. Mister --

MR. GENENDER: Yes, Your Honor, to the extent

```
1
   there was any ambiguity, we agree with Mr. Duewall's
    clarification, that's fine that those are -- the attachments
 2
 3
   are in for demonstratives, but what is testimony and
 4
    admitted evidence are the actual texts, the text of the
 5
    Declaration, the seven or eight pages of the document.
              Thank you, Judge.
 6
7
              THE COURT: Thank you.
8
              And thank you for the clarification, Mr. Duewall.
 9
              All right, Mr. Duewall, who's going to be your
   witness?
10
              MR. DUEWALL: Thank you, Your Honor. My colleague
11
12
   will present this witness on Direct.
13
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
              MR. HUTTON: Yeah, thank you, Your Honor, John
14
15
   Hutton from Greenberg Traurig. And, Your Honor, on behalf
   of BP, Your Honor, we're going to call our first witness who
16
17
    is Adriana Bonjour.
18
              THE COURT: All right.
              MR. HUTTON: I think perhaps we need a minute to
19
20
   have her set up. Okay, there we go.
21
              THE COURT: Ms. Bonjour, good afternoon.
22
              Ms. Bonjour, can I get you to press five, star on
23
   your phone, please, Ms. Bonjour, or whoever's there in the
24
   meeting with you? There we go.
25
              Good afternoon.
```

```
1
              MS. BONJOUR: Can you hear me?
 2
              THE COURT: Would you raise your hand for me,
 3
    please?
 4
         (Witness sworn.)
 5
              THE WITNESS: Yes. I do.
 6
              THE COURT: Thank you. All right, --
 7
              MR. HUTTON: Okay.
 8
              THE COURT: -- let's go ahead and proceed.
 9
              MR. HUTTON: Okay.
10
                DIRECT EXAMINATION OF ADRIANA BONJOUR
11
   BY MR. HUTTON:
        Please state your name for the Record.
12
        My name is Adriana Bonjour.
13
        And, Ms. Bonjour, by whom are you employed?
14
15
         I'm employed by BP Exploration and Production.
         Okay. And what is your what is your position with BP
16
17
    (glitch in the audio) --
18
              THE COURT: I can't hear you, sir.
19
              THE WITNESS: Sorry, --
20
              THE COURT: Hold on.
              THE WITNESS: Sorry, it didn't come through.
21
22
              THE COURT: Yeah.
23
              MR. HUTTON: It didn't come through.
   BY MR. HUTTON:
24
25
        Ms. Bonjour, what is your position with BP? I'm just
```

```
1 | shortening it to "BP."
```

- $2 \parallel A$ My position at BP is senior controller.
- 3 | Q And how long have you worked as senior controller for
- 4 | BP?
- 5 A I've been a senior controller for BP for five years, at
- 6 BP for a total of 15 years in controller roles. Before
- 7 | that, I was an auditor and in public accounting for ten
- 8 | years, and I'm a CPA.
- 9 Q Okay. Thank you. Ms. Bonjour, do you hold any
- 10 | certifications?
- 11 | A I'm sorry, it's hard to hear.
- 12 || Q I'm sorry, you --
- 13 | THE COURT: Yeah. I just --
- 14 | Q -- do you hold any certifications?
- 15 | THE COURT: I'm going to interrupt you both for a
- 16 | minute. Let's take a minute and get both your sound working
- 17 | because you're both coming through really poorly. Can we
- 18 | get your microphone moved closer to you, please,
- 19 Ms. Bonjour?
- 20 MR. HUTTON: Okay. Your Honor, is this better?
- 21 | THE COURT: No.
- 22 THE WITNESS: Is this better?
- 23 | THE COURT: Let's do this one at a time.
- 24 | Ms. Bonjour, let's get yours squared away first.
- 25 THE WITNESS: Can you hear me okay now?

```
1
              THE COURT: That is better, thank you. And now
 2
    let's go to Greenberg.
 3
              MR. HUTTON: Okay. What about now, Your Honor, is
 4
    this clear?
 5
              THE COURT: It is.
 6
              MR. HUTTON: Can you hear okay?
 7
              THE COURT: Why don't you sit up and let's just
    see if we can hear you in a -- we ought to be able to hear
 8
 9
    you normally. I mean, try it now.
10
              MR. HUTTON: Okay. Testing again.
              THE COURT: Yeah, that seems better. Let's go
11
    ahead then. And --
12
13
              MR. HUTTON: Okay.
              THE COURT: -- if you all can't hear each other,
14
15
    it's essential that you tell each other you can't understand
16
    it, by the way, and we'll just take the time to get it
17
    fixed. I don't want you all to get too frustrated about
18
    this because I'm not going to get frustrated with a COVID
19
    technology problem. We'll just -- patience is the only
20
    thing I've learned in the last 18 months, so take your time
21
    and let's get it right.
22
              MR. HUTTON: Thank you, Your Honor, and I
23
   appreciate that.
   BY MR. HUTTON:
24
25
        Ms. Bonjour, what are your responsibilities as senior
```

```
1 || controller at BP?
```

- 2 || As senior controller at BP, it's my job to ensure that
- 3 the financial statements are represented fairly and reflect
- 4 | the business transactions. And I'm also responsible for
- 5 | ensuring a sound control environment.
- 6 | Q Okay. Does your role as senior controller at BP
- 7 | involve reporting on transactions based upon agreements with
- 8 | Fieldwood Energy, LLC?
- 9 A Yes, under my remit (phonetic), I'm responsible for
- 10 | reviewing the agreements between Fieldwood and BP to ensure
- 11 | that they're reported accurately in financial statements.
- 12 | Q Ms. Bonjour, are you familiar with the Purchase and
- 13 | Sale Agreement with Fieldwood Energy, LLC and BP Exploration
- 14 | and Production, Inc. --
- 15 | THE COURT: I couldn't understand --
- 16 || Q -- dated May --
- 17 | THE COURT: I can't understand you.
- 18 Q Ms. Bonjour, are you familiar with the Purchase and
- 19 | Sale Agreement by and between Fieldwood --
- 20 | THE COURT: No, that's worse. Let's just --
- 21 MR. HUTTON: Okay.
- 22 THE COURT: It may be you just have a bad line
- 23 || calling in. I don't know. But let's take a moment, let's
- 24 ||get it right because it's not right. And I know you want it
- 25 | right more than I do so let's just take a moment, let's get

```
1
   your tech people there to fix it, or just redial it --
2
             MR. HUTTON: Okay.
 3
             THE COURT: -- that because it's a bad connection.
 4
             MR. HUTTON: Okay. All right. Your Honor, I
 5
    think we're going to try to dial back in.
         (Pause from 4:08 p.m. to 4:09 p.m.)
 6
7
              THE COURT: Mr. Genender, I am also picking up
8
   noise from your line. I'm not sure where that's coming
 9
    from.
10
             MR. GENENDER: Okay. I'm in the same room as
   Ms. Choi who's going to be handling objections for this
11
   witness so I can't -- it's coming from -- we'll --
12
             THE COURT: Okay. Well I need you all to stop --
13
             MR. GENENDER: Did it stop?
14
             THE COURT: -- moving papers and stuff.
15
             MR. GENENDER: We'll do it.
16
17
             THE COURT: Thank you.
18
             MR. GENENDER: Okay.
19
          (Pause in the proceeding.)
20
             THE COURT: All right. Let's try that again then.
21
             MR. HUTTON: Okay, Your Honor, this is John
22
   Hutton. I dialed back in. I don't know if -- hopefully the
23
    connection's better now.
24
             THE COURT: I think it is. Let's try it.
25
             MR. HUTTON: Okay.
```

- 1 BY MR. HUTTON:
- 2 | Q Ms. Bonjour, are you familiar with the Purchase and
- 3 | Sale Agreement by and between Fieldwood Energy, LLC and BP
- 4 | Exploration and Production, Inc. dated May 17th of 2019
- 5 | which appears as BP Exhibit 27?
- 6 MR. HUTTON: And for Your Honor, that's Docket
- 7 | Entry 1607-27.
- $8 \parallel A$ Yes, I am.
- 9 MR. HUTTON: And actually we'll -- this is a
- 10 | highly confidential document so we're not going to put up on
- 11 | the screen. Will you take it down? Oh, okay.
- 12 \parallel Q Ms. Bonjour, are you familiar with that document?
- 13 | A Yes, I am.
- 14 | Q Okay. Are you also familiar with the cash
- 15 | consideration exchange agreement by and between Fieldwood
- 16 | Energy, LLC and BP Production -- Exploration Production,
- 17 | Inc. dated -- well, relating to the Mississippi Block Canyon
- 18 | 562 dated October 15th of 2018, which we refer to as the
- 19 | Isabella PSA and which appears as BP Exhibit 28?
- 20 MR. HUTTON: And, Your Honor, that is Docket Entry
- 21 | 1607-28.
- 22 | A Yes, I am.
- 23 ||Q Okay. And, Ms. Bonjour, how did you become familiar
- 24 | with the MC519 PSA and the Isabella PSA?
- 25 | A In my role, it's my responsibility to review those when

- they're signed to look at the transactions to make sure that they're recorded properly in the financial statement.
- 3 Q Okay. And are you familiar with the payment terms of the MC519 PSA, including Section 3.1 of that agreement?
- $5 \parallel A$ Yes, I am.
- 6 | Q And, Ms. Bonjour, what are those payment terms?
- A So in that agreement, Fieldwood agreed, among other things, to pay \$30 million to BP upon the completion of the Genovesa well, and with payment remitted within 180 days of
- 11 | Q And when was the Genovesa well brought online?
- 12 THE COURT: I didn't hear that, I'm sorry.
- 13 | A On the 27th.

production.

10

- 14 | THE COURT: I just didn't hear it.
 - Q When was the Genovesa well brought online?
- 16 | THE COURT: I didn't hear it.
- 17 | A March 27th --
- 18 | THE COURT: Sorry.
- 19 $\|Q\|$ Ms. Bonjour, when was the Genovesa well brought online?
- 20 A March 27th, 2021.
- 21 Q And when did the \$30 million obligation become payable 22 and owing?
- MS. CHOI: Objection, Your Honor. That calls for a legal conclusion.
- 25 THE COURT: Sustained.

- 1 BY MR. HUTTON:
- 2 | Q Your -- Ms. Bonjour, when, for purposes of accounting,
- 3 do you treat this as a matured obligation?
- 4 | A So under IRS accounting rules, it is a contingent
- $5 \parallel \text{receivable upon signing that's due and payable when the well}$
- 6 | is completed, which would have been March 27th, 2021.
- 7 | THE COURT: I'm going to --
- 8 | Q And --
- 9 THE COURT: I'm going to strike the latter part of
- 10 | the answer. That went beyond your question and it resulted
- 11 || in a legal conclusion.
- 12 | Q Ms. Bonjour, for accounting purposes, is the \$30
- 13 | million obligation a matured obligation?
- 14 | A For accounting purposes, yes.
- 15 \parallel Q Was the \$30 million obligation a contingent obligation
- 16 | at the time the MC519 PSA was signed, for accounting
- 17 | purposes?
- 18 | A Yes. It was a contingent receivable when the agreement
- 19 | was signed.
- 20 | Q Has Fieldwood made any (glitch in the audio) on the
- 21 MC519 -- on the \$30 million obligation contained in the
- 22 MC519 PSA?
- 23 | A No.
- 24 | Q Ms. Bonjour, are you also familiar with the payment
- 25 | terms of the Isabella PSA, including Section 3.1 of that

```
1 | agreement?
```

- A Yes, I am.
- $3 \parallel Q$ Okay. What are the payment terms on the Isabella PSA?
- 4 MS. CHOI: Objection, Your Honor, calls for a
- 5 ||legal conclusion.
- 6 MR. HUTTON: Your Honor, I'm not asking for a
- 7 | legal conclusion. She is a senior controller at BP,
- 8 | responsible for reporting and recording the transactions
- 9 | between BP and Fieldwood and the books and record and the
- 10 | financial statements of BP, and in that capacity she has
- 11 | testified that she has responsibility for reviewing
- 12 | agreements and for reporting them accurately. And I think
- 13 given her experience and her role, it is appropriate for her
- 14 | to provide testimony regarding these transactions.
- 15 | THE COURT: Sustain the objection.
- 16 | BY MR. HUTTON:
- 17 | Q Ms. Bonjour, as a senior controller for BP, when did
- 18 the receivable due to BP under the Isabella PSA?
- 19 | A Under the Isabella PSA, we recorded the liability when
- 20 the agreement was signed in 2018 for \$66 million.
- 21 | Q And what is the remaining obligation due under the
- 22 | Isabella PSA from BP to Fieldwood?
- 23 $\|A\|$ As of today, there's 12.7 million remaining to be paid.
- 24 \parallel Q Are the parties to the MC519 PSA and Isabella PSA the
- 25 | same?

- 1 | A Yes, Fieldwood and BP.
- 2 | Q In your review of transactions between BP and
- 3 | Fieldwood, have the parties ever netted out amounts due from
- 4 | one against amounts due to the other?
- 5 A Yes. In the normal course of business, various
- 6 transactions will arise net one between the other.
- 7 \mathbb{Q} And can you please provide some examples of that?
- 8 A Sure. One of the first examples I have is we submit
- 9 | invoices to Fieldwood for payment, and under the agreement,
- 10 | Fieldwood paid a hundred percent of that. If there are any
- 11 | questions about what's on the invoice for the agreements say
- 12 | that we would discuss that after payment --
- MR. SPEAKER: Kesha, --
- 14 | A -- and then decide how to --
- 15 MS. CHOI: Your Honor?
- 16 | A -- payment (glitch in the audio)
- 17 MS. CHOI: Sorry. I'm having trouble hearing the
- 18 | witness, she's breaking up. And also I think there may have
- 19 | been a legal conclusion in there. But I'm having trouble
- 20 | hearing, it's breaking up.
- 21 THE COURT: I am, too. I am, too. Is there a
- 22 | reason why we can't put the phone right near you,
- 23 | Ms. Bonjour?
- 24 | THE WITNESS: Right -- I've got it right here
- 25 | close to me.

```
1
              THE COURT: When you picked it up there it was
   sure a lot more clear. Let's -- I hate to have you hold it
 2
 3
   but --
 4
             THE WITNESS: I need to hold it up. Okay. I'll
 5
   do my best. I can hold it here. Is this better?
 6
              THE COURT: It is better. I hate to have you hold
 7
   it. You want to maybe --
             THE WITNESS: Okay.
 8
 9
             THE COURT: -- put it on top --
10
             THE WITNESS: All right. That's okay.
              THE COURT: -- of a book or something like that?
11
12
   Because it -- I don't need to inconvenience you either while
   we're doing this but we get -- we do have to hear you
13
   better.
14
15
             THE WITNESS: Okay. Here we go. I'll hold it up.
   Is this better?
16
17
             THE COURT: We'll try it, we'll try it. So I'm
18
   going to --
19
             THE WITNESS: Okay.
20
             THE COURT: -- whatever that answer was, I had
21
    trouble hearing it as well, I'm going to ask that we re-ask
22
    the question, we'll redo the answer, and the prior answer
23
   will not be recorded for -- in my notes or for the record so
24
    that we can get an answer that we can all hear.
25
             MR. HUTTON: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
```

- THE COURT: You remember the question, you can regive the answer, or else he can re-ask the question,

 Ms. Bonjour, whatever's most convenient for you.
- 4 THE WITNESS: Please re-ask the question.
- 5 MR. HUTTON: Certainly.
- 6 BY MR. HUTTON:

2

3

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

- Q Ms. Bonjour, in your review of transactions between BP and Fieldwood, have the parties ever netted out amounts due from one against amounts due to the other?
- 10 A Yes. In the normal course of business we have netted 11 amounts out between each other.
- 12 Q Okay. And can you please provide some examples of 13 that?
 - A Sure. We submit invoices to Fieldwood for payment, and where there are questions that Fieldwood has, they are to pay the invoice in full, we discuss any questions they have, and agree how to remit the payment back. And many times, if there was a valid refund that was due, we would go ahead and net it against the next jib, "jib" being invoice.
- 20 || Q Any other examples?
 - A Sure. Another example was when Fieldwood elected to participate in an exploration well last year; then, after work commenced, they decided to back out and we allowed that. And so they were obligated to pay their share of expenses up to that time. An agreement was made to forego

```
that and let them not pay, and we allowed them to net that against their invoice.
```

And then another example is one that I -- is when BP still owned an interest, working interest in the MC519 assets, where BP would invoice Fieldwood as the operator, Fieldwood would then pay that in full and then bill BP for its working interest share in the MC519 well. In September of 2018, Fieldwood asked if we could begin to net those, although they're separate agreements. We responded by saying we had just put in a new accounting system, can we pick it up in January of 2019 to discuss netting. And Fieldwood went ahead and began to start netting in October, which continued until we sold our working interest in those wells in May of 2019.

MR. HUTTON: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Bonjour. No further questions at this time, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Any Cross-Examination, Ms. Choi?

MS. CHOI: Yes, Your Honor. Erin Choi on behalf of the Debtors.

CROSS-EXAMINATION OF ADRIANA BONJOUR

22 BY MS. CHOI:

Q Ms. Bonjour, isn't it the case that there were delays in BP's part in paying undisputed jibs to Fieldwood?

A Can you repeat the question?

- 1 | Q Yes. I said, Ms. Bonjour, isn't it the case that there
- 2 | were delays on BP's part in paying undisputed jibs to
- 3 ||Fieldwood?
- 4 | A Which specific jibs?
- $5 \parallel Q$ In connection with the Isabella agreement.
- 6 A In connection with the Isabella agreement, no, I don't
- 7 | recall anything with Isabella agreement with unpaid or late
- 8 || jib.
- 9 \mathbb{Q} In 2019, isn't it the case that there were delays on
- 10 | BP's part in paying undisputed jibs to Fieldwood?
- 11 | A I think you're referring to the MC519 assets, which is
- 12 | separate from the Isabella. And on those, that is when
- 13 | Fieldwood began to net and we asked -- the amounts do not
- 14 | calculate properly so we would ask for information in order
- 15 | to substantiate the amount that they had billed. So there
- 16 were some delays on both sides.
- 17 | Q But there were delays on BP's part in paying those jibs
- 18 | to Fieldwood; isn't that right?
- 19 | A Yes. As we worked --
- 20 | Q Yes.
- $21 \parallel A = -$ through how they were netting the jibs.
- 22 | Q Isn't it the case that BP outsources its accounting
- 23 || services?
- 24 | A Yes, partially.
- 25 | Q And isn't it the case that there were delays in upwards

- of a year in fact in BP paying Fieldwood the money owed under the agreements that we were just discussing?
- A No. There was a final settlement statement. Are you referring to the final settlement statement? Because there were no delays of up to a year for regular invoices.
- 6 | Q There were delays up to nine months.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A It's possible nine months. I don't recall exactly without knowing the specific invoices that you're referring to.
- 10 | Q And this was money that Fieldwood had essentially 11 | loaned to BP; isn't that right?
- 12 A Not the way -- I don't see it that way. I don't know which invoices you're speaking of specifically.
- 14 | Q Because Fieldwood had paid BP's share for these wells
 15 | during this time; isn't that right?
 - A We were getting delays on payment from Fieldwood as well during that time so it's hard to say that we were loaning them money when there was a lot of delays in payments on both sides.
 - Q The BP payments that were delayed, isn't it true that at a certain point Fieldwood tried to net what it owed BP against what BP owed Fieldwood?
 - A Fieldwood began netting way before that happened. We were current up until that point. And then Fieldwood began to net and the calculation did not add up, so then there

- 1 were delays on both sides where BP said, Fieldwood, you
- 2 | haven't paid us for the full amount, and then Fieldwood
- 3 | said, you're not paying us for that money that we couldn't
- 4 get the calculation for. So it was on both sides.
- $5 \parallel Q$ Isn't it true, though, that BP objected to netting and
- 6 | didn't agree to it?
- $7 \parallel A$ No. What BP said is the agreements do not allow
- 8 | netting and if they would live -- let us speak about it in
- 9 | January, because we had just input a new accounting system
- 10 || in September of 2018, we responded and said, can we have a
- 11 | conversation in January, 2019 to let us talk to our legal
- 12 | team, as well as to kind of settle out our accounting
- 13 || system.
- 14 | Q Ms. Bonjour, there's a difference between netting and
- 15 | credit, would you agree?
- 16 | A That's the difference, yeah, yes.
- 17 | Q And in this case, there is a disagreement about
- 18 | netting; is that your testimony?
- 19 | A There was a disagreement about the netting, yeah, two
- 20 different invoices from two different agreements.
- 21 MS. CHOI: Excuse me, one moment.
- 22 | Q Ms. Bonjour, there was not in fact a course of dealing
- 23 || on netting, was there?
- 24 | THE COURT: I'm sorry, I didn't hear. There --
- 25 A Can you repeat that?

- THE COURT: -- was not a what on netting?
- 2 Ms. Choi, I just didn't hear the word.

restate it?

- 3 Q There was not a course of dealing between these parties 4 with respect to netting, was there?
- A I'm sorry, I don't understand the question, there was not a course of dealing. Can you repeat the question or
- 8 Q Ms. Bonjour, in your testimony you testified that there
- 9 was in the normal course some kind of netting that happened.
- 10 But it is not the case that there was in fact a course of
- 11 dealing between these parties respect to netting, was there?
- 12 A Yes, there was plenty of times where we received
- 13 payment from Fieldwood on invoices where items were netted
- 14 out. That was per course of business the way it's been for
- 15 | as long as we've been in business with Fieldwood.
- 16 Q But there were no disagreements, were there or were 17 they?
- 18 A There were conversations. I don't know if I would call
- 19 | them disagreements but there are conversations when
- 20 | Fieldwood asked to begin to net two separate agreements
- 21 | against each other where BP said, that's not allowed, we can
- 22 | talk about it in a couple months, give us some time, and
- 23 | then netting happened anyway. So I don't know if I would
- 24 | call that a disagreement as much as I would call it let's
- 25 | have a conversation, but Fieldwood went ahead and net anyway

```
1
   without letting us have that conversation. So if that's the
 2
    disagreement, then yes.
 3
              MS. CHOI: No further questions.
 4
              THE COURT: Thank you.
 5
              Any follow-up?
              MR. HUTTON: No Redirect, Your Honor.
 6
7
              THE COURT: Thank you.
8
              Ms. Bonjour, sorry it was so hard to do it, but
 9
   once we got it going it seemed to work well. Thank you for
10
    coming today. If you want to stay for the balance of the
   hearing, it's a public courtroom; otherwise, you're free to
11
12
   go about your business.
              THE WITNESS: All right. Thank you so much.
13
14
              THE COURT: Thank you.
15
         (Witness excused.)
              MR. DUEWALL: Your Honor, Ms. Bonjour is our only
16
17
   witness, so I suppose we stand in recess until 5:00.
18
              THE COURT: All right. Let me just be sure so
    that we are at least being reasonably efficient about this.
19
20
   Is there any other party that has any other witnesses that
21
    they want to introduce at today's hearing, besides the one
22
   witness we know that we're going to get from the Debtor,
23
   Mr. Hanson?
24
         (No audible response)
25
              THE COURT: All right. I'm going to show that all
```

```
1
    opponents to confirmation have now rested their case in
 2
    chief. Subject to rebuttal, the last witness will be
   Mr. Hanson. And we'll -- do we have an update on when he'll
 3
 4
   be available, Mr. Genender?
 5
              MR. GENENDER: Your Honor, Paul Genender.
 6
   been checking with him and the latest I've heard is not
 7
   before 5:00 but at 5:00. And so that's the best I have.
 8
    I --
 9
              THE COURT: That's fine. I don't have a problem
    with that if -- but I didn't want him just cooling his heels
10
11
    for 20 minutes either. So we'll come back at 5:00 and we'll
    call the case at 5:00. We'll adjourn until then.
12
              MR. GENENDER: Thank you, Judge.
13
14
              THE COURT: Thank you.
15
         (Recess taken from 4:27 p.m. to 5:03 p.m.)
16
                            AFTER RECESS
17
              THE COURT: All right. We're going back on the
18
    Record in the Fieldwood case. If I could get Mr. Hanson,
19
    please, to press five, star on his phone, we'll get him
20
    recognized, as well as counsel that wishes to examine him,
21
    and anyone else that wants their line enabled.
22
              Mr. Hanson, do we have you there? Good afternoon.
23
              MR. HANSON: Hello, Your Honor.
24
              THE COURT: Afternoon. Mr. Genender, I think I've
25
    got your line down. And Mr. Zuber wants his line activated
```

```
as well. Mr. Zuber, good afternoon.
 1
 2
             MR. ZUBER: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
 3
              THE COURT: All right. Are we ready to proceed?
 4
              MR. GENENDER: Yes, Your Honor. May I proceed?
 5
              THE COURT: All right, Mister --
 6
              MR. GENENDER: Oh, I guess he needs to be -- yes,
 7
   all right.
 8
              THE COURT: Thank you.
 9
              MR. GENENDER: My questions won't do a lot before
10
    you swear him in so thank you, Judge.
11
         (Witness sworn.)
12
              THE COURT: Thanks, Mr. Hanson. Go ahead, please,
   Mr. Genender.
13
14
             MR. GENENDER: Thank you, Your Honor. Paul
    Genender, Weil, Gotshal, and Manges for the Debtors. Good
15
16
    afternoon, Mr. Hanson.
17
              THE WITNESS: Hello, Mr. Genender.
18
               DIRECT EXAMINATION OF JOHN-PAUL HANSON
   BY MR. GENENDER:
19
20
        Could you please state your full name for the Record?
21
        Yeah. It's John-Paul, J-O-H-N hyphen P-A-U-L, Hanson,
22
   H-A-N-S-O-N.
23
        Mr. Hanson, you understand that the Declaration that
24
   you prepared and filed -- and was filed as of June 13th,
25
    2021, at Debtors' Exhibit 52, the eight-page Declaration,
```

- 1 has already been admitted into evidence as your testimony; 2 are you aware of that?
- 3 | A Yes. That's my understanding.
- 4 Q Okay. And that the reports that you prepared that were
- 5 | attached to that Declaration as Exhibits 1 and 2 are
- 6 admitted for demonstrative purposes only; are you aware of
- 7 | that?
- 8 | A Yes, I'm aware of that.
- 9 | Q And are you also aware that Debtors' Exhibit 107, which
- 10 | is ECF 1625-31, is also admitted for demonstrative purposes?
- 11 | A That's my understanding as well.
- 12 \parallel Q Okay. And those are the -- those are that -- that's
- 13 | the universe of documents I'm going to reference in my short
- 14 direct, supplementary direct of you, okay?
- 15 | A Okay.
- 16 Q As it relates to Exhibits 1 and 2 to your Declaration,
- 17 do those documents fairly and accurately reflect your
- 18 | opinions that you're offering on valuation in this case?
- 19 A Yes, they do.
- 20 MR. GENENDER: And if we can put up Debtors'
- 21 | Exhibit 107 on the screen, Your Honor, would you mind to
- 22 | make Mr. Miller, Ron Miller, share the screen with him,
- 23 | please? Or make him the presenter I should say.
- 24 | THE COURT: I am doing that right now.
- 25 MR. GENENDER: I should know how to say that --

```
1
             THE COURT: All right.
```

MR. GENENDER: I should know how to say that, 2 3 Judge, by now.

THE COURT: One day. You're getting old, hard to remember those things. Mr. Miller now has the presenter role.

MR. GENENDER: Thank you, Judge. Mr. Hanson, if we can go to the second page, I'm going to walk through this briefly.

10 BY MR. GENENDER:

4

5

6

7

8

9

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Second page of demonstrative Debtors' Exhibit 107, 11 entitled: "Key Assumptions;" do you see that? 12
- 13 Yes, I do.
- 14 Okay. And what's the significance, Mr. Hanson, of 15 relying on the midyear 2020 database as opposed to the yearend 2020 database?
 - When we first formulated the valuation opinions, the yearend database was not yet complete and it had not been audited by the third party auditor, Ryder Scott. And so what we did was utilized the midyear 2020 report, we rolled forward production, applied the forecasted expenses to that, and ran it at the then-appropriate commodity price strip or forward curves as of March 5th, and provided our valuation, draft valuation opinions at that time. Subsequent to working on those drafts, the company and its auditors

- 1 finalized the yearend reserve report. We reviewed that yearend reserve report, did a compare and contrast to ensure 2 that the conclusions of valuation wouldn't be materially 3 4 impacted. They in fact were not and so we continued to rely 5 upon the midyear report only because the basis of our work had been conducted in that database file and the yearend 6 7 report was not materially different than our roll forward. 8 MR. GENENDER: Thank you. If we can turn to the 9 third page of the demonstrative. BY MR. GENENDER: 10 Mr. Hanson, does this page fairly and accurately 11 summarize your valuation conclusions? 12 Yes, it does. 13 All right. Can you explain to the Court why you used 14 certain of the valuation methodologies for certain of the 15 entities and not for others? If I could ask it that way. 16 17 In an ideal scenario we would have utilized all of the 18 methodologies for each of the entities. However, only 19 select methodologies for Fieldwood One, Fieldwood Three, and Fieldwood Four were in fact applicable. NewCo, or Credit 20 Bid Purchaser, however you would like to term it, we were 21 22 able to utilize all three methodologies because it is a
 - There are not only relevant underlying assumptions against which we can apply the net asset valuation

24

25

going concern.

1 methodology but also precedent transactions that are relevant, as well as publicly traded comparable companies. 2 3 For Fieldwood One, we were able to utilize the net asset 4 value, or NAV, approach methodology. And there are a couple 5 of precedent transactions that we were able to utilize 6 because there were some -- a couple of comparable 7 transactions with heavy weighting on P and A. But for Fieldwood Three and Fieldwood Four, there 8 9 really are no comparable transactions and no public trading 10 comps for any of Fieldwood One, Fieldwood Three, or 11 Fieldwood Four as none of those three entities are going concerns. And Fieldwood Three and Fieldwood Four do not 12 contain any producing assets. 13 Thank you. In terms of where you weighted for net 14 asset value 70 percent for NewCo and for Fieldwood One, can 15 you walk the Court through your judgment in arriving at that 16 17 weighting? 18 It is fairly typical for oil and gas valuation experts 19 to rely upon the net asset valuation methodology greater than the other methodologies. The oil and gas assets are of 20 their own right, they're all bespoke (phonetic). There is 21 22 no -- and, you know, a hundred percent comparable asset one 23 from the other, there is no hundred percent comparable 24 operating Plan or development Plan from one company to the 25 next.

And so it is typical that there would be a heavier 1 weighting on the net asset valuation methodology relative to 2 3 the two other methodologies, particularly when you're 4 dealing with offshore oil and gas in conventional reserves. That is even more the case, and particularly where there's a 5 limited subset of precedent transactions or publicly traded 6 7 comparable companies. As a result, where a typical range of weighting would be between 50 and 70 percent on the NAV 8 9 relative to the other methodologies, we went at the high end 10 of that typical practitioner's range at 70 percent, given 11 the very cost of a bespoken nature of these assets and the lack of comparability in operating and development Plan for 12 Fieldwood -- for NewCo or Fieldwood One, Fieldwood Three, 13 and Fieldwood Four relative to other companies. 14 15 Thank you. Mr. Hanson, referring to pages four and five of Exhibit Demonstrative 107, do those reflect the 16 17 detail that you used that -- your detail in connection with 18 your net asset value opinions for NewCo and Fieldwood One respectively? 19 20 Well, I would say that this is a summary buildup. 21 There obviously is a lot more detailed work that went into 22 it but this is a summary buildup to the NAV for each of 23 NewCo and Fieldwood One, yes. 24 And are these opinions net of ARO, Asset Retirement 25 Obligations?

- 1 A Yes. They are inclusive, they incorporate the ARO 2 liabilities.
- 3 Q Thank you. Did you also opine on residual
- 4 distributable value in connection with your work?
- 5 A Yes, I did.
- 6 Q And did you do that -- for which of the entities did
- 7 | you do that for?
- 8 | A Well, ideally we would have done it for each of
- 9 | Fieldwood One, Fieldwood Three, and Fieldwood Four.
- 10 | Basically the residual distributable value is a means of
- 11 | valuing the membership interests that will be owned or held
- 12 | by the unsecured creditors.
- 13 | It really is an option value. However, at this stage,
- 14 given that the midpoint valuation for each of Fieldwood One,
- 15 || Fieldwood Three, and Fieldwood Four is negative, and
- 16 | Fieldwood Three and Fieldwood Four, given that they have no
- 17 | producing assets, they have no inherent positive value.
- 18 | And so while ideally Fieldwood Three and Fieldwood Four
- 19 | would have been contributors to that residual distributable
- 20 | value, the reality is that a hundred percent of this value
- 21 | came from Fieldwood One in an option value context.
- 22 | Q And on the screen is page seven of the demonstrative
- 23 | Debtors' 107; what does that reflect in connection with your
- 24 | residual distributable value analysis?
- 25 | A Well, as mentioned, the residual distributable value

1 really is option value given at the midpoint for each of the three entities. The midpoint valuation is negative. 2 what the reason there is option value, however, in Fieldwood 3 4 One is because under certain commodity priced circumstances, 5 under operating costs, improvements, or where operating costs or Asset Retirement Obligations come in below what is 6 7 forecast and therefore there is more cash and more cash flow from Fieldwood One than currently forecast, then the 8 9 membership interest in Fieldwood One would actually have value. 10

And so what we did was a -- utilized a typical option value methodology, which is the Black-Scholes Formula. And this page seven highlights the underlying assumptions on a range of values for that residual distributable value or membership interest on an option basis.

- Q Thank you. Mr. Hanson, did you hear Mr. Dane's testimony about the use of P50 versus P90 that he offered yesterday?
- 19 A Yes, I did.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

22

- 20 ||Q Do you agree with it?
- 21 A I do, yes, entirely.
 - MR. GENENDER: If we'll turn to page nine of the demonstrative, please, Exhibit 107, Debtors' 107.
- Q Mr. Hanson, does this picture on the right of the page 5 fairly and accurately describe which reserves in your view

```
1
   should be included in valuing the assets?
2
         This demonstrates the reserves that should be included,
 3
   which in my expert opinion includes the proved, or P90,
 4
   reserves and the probable, or P50, reserves. One aspect
 5
    that Mr. Dane spoke about yesterday is that P50 is at its
   heart in a definition probable. It is greater than a 50
 6
7
   percent probability. It's not proved, it's not certain.
8
              A P90 is greater than a 90 percent probability
 9
   and, therefore, there is almost a certainty of outcome, or
10
    it is a proved outcome, where a 50 percent or greater
11
   probability, or P50, is in fact by definition the most
   probable of outcomes.
12
13
              MR. GENENDER: Thank you. I will pass the
14
   witness. Thank you, Mr. Hanson.
15
              THE COURT: Thank you. Are there any advocates of
    confirmation that have questions for Mr. Hanson? If so,
16
17
   please press five, star one time.
18
         (No audible response)
19
              All right. Are there any opponents to
    confirmation that have questions for Mr. Hanson?
20
21
         (No audible response)
22
              Mr. Duewall, I've got your line active.
              MR. DUEWALL: Thank you, Your Honor.
23
24
              Craig Duewall on behalf of BP.
25
              Good afternoon, Mr. Hanson.
```

| 1 | THE WITNESS: Hello, Mr. Duewall. |
|----|---|
| 2 | CROSS-EXAMINATION OF JOHN-PAUL HANSON |
| 3 | BY MR. DUEWALL: |
| 4 | Q Mr. Hanson, you'll agree that your opinions are limited |
| 5 | strictly to valuation. |
| 6 | A That's correct. |
| 7 | Q And in your report and your testimony, you've expressed |
| 8 | no opinions regarding the feasibility of NewCo. |
| 9 | A No, I have not. |
| 10 | Q And in your reports and testimony you've expressed no |
| 11 | opinions regarding whether or not the confirmation of the |
| 12 | proposed Plan is likely to be followed by a liquidation of |
| 13 | NewCo; is that correct? |
| 14 | A That's correct. |
| 15 | Q And in your testimony and reports, you've expressed no |
| 16 | opinions regarding whether or not the confirmation of the |
| 17 | proposed Plan is likely to be followed by the need for |
| 18 | further financial reorganization of NewCo; is that correct? |
| 19 | A I have not expressed any of those opinions. |
| 20 | MR. DUEWALL: That's all I have, Your Honor, I |
| 21 | pass the witness. |
| 22 | THE COURT: Thank you. |

Are there further questions for Mr. Hanson by any party?

(No audible response)

23

24

```
1
              THE COURT: All right. Mr. Hanson, thank you for
 2
    coming in.
 3
              THE WITNESS: Thank you.
 4
         (Witness excused.)
 5
              THE COURT: Does the Debtor rest?
 6
              MR. GENENDER: Yes, Your Honor.
 7
              THE COURT: Do any proponents of confirmation have
 8
    any additional evidence that they wish to introduce? Hold
 9
    on just a moment. Ms. Archiyan.
10
              MS. ARCHIYAN: (No audible response)
11
              THE COURT: Ms. Archiyan.
              MS. ARCHIYAN: Your Honor (glitch in the audio).
12
    Good afternoon, Your Honor, Yelena Archiyan on behalf of
13
    (glitch in the audio) other affiliates of Energy Transfer.
14
15
              Your Honor, I apologize, but I was caught off-
    quard before the last break when you asked if anyone from
16
17
    the opposition had witnesses to call. I didn't have a
18
    witness to call and I don't have one to call now, but I was
19
    going to request that you take notice of the schedule of
20
    assets and liabilities for Fieldwood Energy, LLC, which is
21
    at Docket No. 429. And that establishes Energy Transfer's
22
    cash deposit.
23
              THE COURT: Hold on.
24
              ECF 429 is Schedule A and B filed by Fieldwood.
25
    What -- it's 463 pages. What part of it do you want me to
```

```
notice?
1
2
             MS. ARCHIYAN: I believe it's pages 15 and 17, the
 3
   Debtors' assets and cash deposits that they're holding.
 4
              THE COURT: So ECF --
 5
             MS. ARCHIYAN: Or that they (glitch in the audio).
 6
              THE COURT: Page 15?
7
             MS. ARCHIYAN: I believe it's page 15. Hold on.
          (Pause in the proceeding.)
8
 9
              THE COURT: So I've searched for the words "Energy
    Transfer" and don't see them -- those words with the search
10
11
   of the 463 pages. I need more detail on what you want me to
    look at.
12
13
             MS. ARCHIYAN: Yes. If you search Sea Robin
    (phonetic), I -- it's either page 15 or 17. And I'm pulling
14
15
    it up right now, I apologize.
              THE COURT: That's okay. Sea Robin?
16
17
             MS. ARCHIYAN:
                             Yes.
18
             THE COURT: Okay. Sea Robin Pipeline --
19
             MS. ARCHIYAN: Yes.
20
             THE COURT: -- is listed as a deposit, including
21
    security deposits and utility deposits. At line 717, Sea
22
   Robin Pipeline Company, LLC, $975,000 is listed as the
23
   current value of the Debtors -- I'm sorry, yeah, is listed
24
   as a deposit by the Debtor, right?
25
             MS. ARCHIYAN: Correct. Yes, so it's page ECF 17.
```

```
So and line 726 is Florida Gas Transmission, that's another
1
2
    affiliate of Energy Transfer, and the corresponding amount
 3
   there is $150,000.
 4
              THE COURT: So these are the Debtors' deposits
 5
   with your clients.
             MS. ARCHIYAN: Correct.
 6
7
              THE COURT: Okay. Is there any objection to me
8
   taking notice that the Debtor has represented that with her
 9
    clients there are two deposits: one totaling 975 with Sea
10
   Robin and another totaling 150,000 with Florida Gas
   Transmission?
11
             MS. CHOI: No objection, Your Honor. This is Erin
12
   Choi from the Debtors.
13
             THE COURT: We'll take notice that those two
14
15
   deposits are listed in the Debtors' schedules.
16
             MS. ARCHIYAN: Thank you so much, Your Honor.
17
   We're making progress with Debtors' counsel on the proposed
18
    language, and this all may be moot by the end of the day
19
    today. But just in case, thank you very much.
20
             THE COURT: The end of the day is coming soon, so
21
    all right.
22
             MS. ARCHIYAN: Well, midnight is coming up.
23
             THE COURT: All right. Mr. Scharfenberg, go
24
   ahead.
25
             MR. SCHARFENBERG: Yeah, good afternoon, Your
```

```
1
   Honor. And, I'm sorry, I think I'm in a similar position.
 2
    I was pressing star five instead of five star earlier. Just
 3
   as a --
 4
              THE COURT: That'll do it.
 5
              MR. SCHARFENBERG: -- matter of housekeeping --
    yeah. Just as a matter of housekeeping, I wanted to admit a
 6
 7
    few exhibits that I understand the Debtors have no
    opposition to.
 8
 9
              It is at record document 1613, Exhibits 2, 3,
   and 4.
10
11
              THE COURT: All right. Is -- these are Zurig
12
   documents 16, I'm sorry, 16 -- I apologize, --
13
              MR. SCHARFENBERG: Thirteen, one, three.
              THE COURT: -- 1613-2, -3, and -4 are being
14
15
    offered. Any objection? The indemnity agreement, the
16
    escrow agreement, and the bond are being offered into
17
    evidence. All right, we --
18
             MS. CHOI: Your Honor, Erin Choi, no objections,
19
    sorry.
20
              THE COURT: All right.
21
              MR. SCHARFENBERG: Thank you.
22
              THE COURT: We're admitting 1613-2, -3, and -4.
23
          (Exhibits Nos. 1613-2, 1613-3, and 1613-4 received in
    evidence.)
24
25
               THE COURT: Mr. Scharfenberg, anything further?
```

```
1
             MR. SCHARFENBERG: Nothing further. Thank you,
2
   Your Honor.
 3
              THE COURT: So next time, by the way, I've had
 4
   people where they get their phone out of sync. There are
 5
    two solutions to that. One is hang up and dial back in once
 6
   you realize that. But the other is turn on your camera and
7
   wave and I'll eventually find you, Mr. Scharfenberg.
8
             MR. SCHARFENBERG: Sounds great, thank you.
 9
             THE COURT: Mr. Woodard.
10
             MR. WOODARD: (No audible response)
11
              THE COURT: Mr. Woodard.
12
             MR. WOODARD: Yes, Your Honor. I'm in the same
   boat as my fellow sureties. We just have our indemnity
13
    agreement that was attached as Exhibit Docket Number 1566-1
14
15
    that Ms. Choi graciously consented to the other day but I
   had not moved the admission.
16
17
              THE COURT: Is there any objection --
18
             MR. WOODARD: And I would like to do so.
             THE COURT: -- to the admission of 1566-1?
19
20
             MS. CHOI: No objection.
21
             THE COURT: All right, --
22
             MR. WOODARD: Thank you, Your Honor.
23
             THE COURT: -- 1566-1 is admitted. Thank you.
          (Exhibit No. 1566-1 received in evidence.)
24
25
             THE COURT: Does any other party have any evidence
```

```
1
   or do all parties rest?
 2
              MR. ZUBER: Your Honor, this is Scott Zuber. May
 3
    I be heard, please?
 4
              THE COURT: I'm sorry, who was that?
 5
              MR. ZUBER: Scott Zuber.
 6
              THE COURT: Mr. Zuber, go ahead, please.
 7
              MR. ZUBER: Hi, Your Honor, good afternoon, Your
    Honor. Very quickly, again we would also ask that our
 8
 9
    bonds, indemnity agreements, and certain exhibits be
10
    admitted to evidence. I'm not aware of any objection.
    believe they're Exhibits B, C, D, and E in Document Number
11
12
    1591, one, five, nine, one.
13
              THE COURT: We have an offer of 1591-2, -3, -4,
   and -5. Any objection?
14
15
              MS. CHOI: Your Honor, if Mr. Zuber, you could
    just remind me which entity you represent? I apologize.
16
17
              MR. ZUBER: We represent Aspen, Everest, Berkley.
18
          (Pause in the proceeding.)
19
              MS. CHOI: No objection, Your Honor. Thank you.
20
              THE COURT: Fifteen ninety-one two, three, four,
21
    and five are admitted. Anything further, Mr. Zuber?
22
          (Exhibits Nos. 1591-2, 1591-3, 1591-4, and 1591-5
23
    received in evidence.)
24
              MR. ZUBER: Yes, Your Honor. I don't have any
25
    witnesses or any cross-examination of any of the witnesses,
```

```
but I just wanted to make sure that we'll have an
 2
    opportunity during closing arguments to make other legal
 3
   arguments in furtherance of what we stated at the opening.
 4
             We've got, you know, some legal arguments only.
 5
   And we'll just be referring to documents already in
 6
   evidence, such as the Plan, the Credit Bid Purchase
 7
    Agreement. But just wanted to make sure that we'll have
    that opportunity in the morning just to make further legal
8
 9
    argument, no evidentiary --
              THE COURT: You're going to have a chance to make
10
11
    your closing argument tomorrow morning.
12
             MR. ZUBER: Thank you, Your Honor.
13
             THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Knapp.
             MR. KNAPP: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Appearing
14
15
    for McMoRan Oil and Gas, we'd like to admit the exhibits
16
    attached as 1601 and 1608; 1601 has Exhibits 1 and 2, 1608
17
   has Exhibit 3. My understanding -- these are all operating
18
    agreements. My understanding is the Debtors have no
19
    objection.
20
             THE COURT: So I'm going to offer 1601-1, 1601-2,
    and 1608-1. Any objection to those three exhibits?
21
22
             MS. CHOI: No objection, Your Honor.
23
              THE COURT: All three are admitted. Anything
24
    further, Mr. Knapp?
```

(Exhibits Nos. 1601-1, 1601-2, and 1608-1 received in evidence.)

MR. KNAPP: That's all. Thank you.

THE COURT: So this is actually working by me continuing to say this. I'll say it another time. Does anyone have any additional evidence to introduce? Because we're about to close the record. But feel free if you've got something else that we need to put in the record. Once it's closed, it's going to stay closed.

(No audible response)

THE COURT: Press five star one time on your phone if you wish to speak.

(No audible response)

THE COURT: All right. I'm going to show that all the evidence is in and closed and that all parties have rested. Closing arguments will begin tomorrow morning at 9:45. We're going to start with the Debtor. We'll then have any other proponents of confirmation. We will then have opponents of confirmation. And then we'll have final rebuttal by the Debtor in the closing arguments. That'll be the order of presentation.

If anyone has any questions, comments, or objections to that, now is a good time so that I can figure that out and you all can figure that out overnight if we have a problem with it.

```
1
          (No audible response)
              THE COURT: All right. Parties are going to be
 2
 3
   allowed to --
 4
             MR. GENENDER: Your Honor, --
 5
             THE COURT: -- use their PowerPoints if you wish
 6
    tomorrow. Just be prepared to show them. And we'll make
7
    whoever you want as the presenter. Mr. Genender.
8
              MR. GENENDER: Your Honor, can you -- you said
 9
    this earlier but I did not write it down, and I apologize.
   What's the Court's schedule in the morning? There was a
10
11
   block of time, right?
              THE COURT: Yes, sir. So we're going to start at
12
13
    9:45 and work until noon. Beyond that, I'm not sure what
    the calendar will look like tomorrow afternoon. And if -- I
14
    am not asking folks to limit their closing. These are
15
16
    important closing arguments as far as I'm concerned. And if
17
    I need to go in the afternoon or if I can't finish in the
18
   afternoon, go until, you know, the next day, we're going to
19
    do that. But everyone's going to get a chance to do full
20
    closings. This is a long, complicated deal and I am not
21
    going to cut people short on this.
22
             MR. GENENDER: Thank you, Judge.
23
              THE COURT: When will the proposed -- the final
   version of the proposed Confirmation Order be filed?
24
25
          (No audible response)
```

```
THE COURT: There's a lot of silence out there.

Mr. Perez, your line seems muted. Hold on. Mr. Carlson has pressed five, star. Let me get his line active. Mr. Perez,

I don't see you as having pressed five, star. I'll need you to do that if you want to speak. Mr. Carlson.
```

MR. CARLSON: Good evening, Your Honor, Cliff
Carlson for the Debtors. We Plan to file a proposed order
later this evening. It may be later. But we expect there
may be some further changes overnight after we file that.
But we are working with several different parties on various
inserts, and the goal and intention is to file it tonight.

THE COURT: So, Mr. Perez, you wanted to speak to that as well, right?

MR. PEREZ: No. I was actually going to say that either Mr. Carlson or Ms. Liou are probably the closest to it.

THE COURT: So, look, I think it might be very helpful to parties -- and I got it that there may be changes made during closing arguments tomorrow to the Confirmation Order. Can we file a version at some time certain tonight so that parties can see what the current status is? And then you can file another one, you know, overnight if you want to that is redlined against that one. But let's get people something they can start reading. I'm inclined to -- and I don't want to insist on a particular time, but I'm

- inclined to think, you know, sometime around 8:30 or 9:00 o'clock tonight you all file the current status of the Confirmation Order. And then whatever you file after that would be redlined against that current status. And I don't know if that works for you all. I'm not looking to, you know, make double work but people need a chance to prepare. Ms. Liou.
 - MS. LIOU: Yes, Your Honor, Jessica Liou from Weil, Gotshal, Manges on behalf of the Debtors. That's fine with us. We can file a form of proposed Confirmation Order tonight by that deadline. And, Your Honor, we will also be filing some very minor amendments to the Plan as well, cleanup changes that we've been considering with the lenders.
 - THE COURT: And those will be redlined, right?

 MS. LIOU: Those will be redlined. And there will be one other change, which it's just on reservation of rights language that got added into the Plan as a result of our continuing discussions with the government.

THE COURT: Okay. So not later than 9:00 p.m. tonight I want the Debtors to file the latest version of the proposed Confirmation Order and the latest changes to the Plan that are in existence as of 9:00 o'clock. And I am not suggesting that you're then stuck with that permanently as you continue to try and work through problems. But I need

```
1
   people to see what they're shooting at. That is without
2
   prejudice --
 3
              MS. LIOU: Can do.
 4
              THE COURT: -- to the rights of parties tomorrow
 5
    to argue they haven't had a reasonable opportunity to review
   what those are. But, I mean, I haven't anybody -- had
 6
 7
    anybody that's trying to delay this hearing so -- but I
    think that gives people a fair chance.
8
 9
              And then we'll see what happens as we move into
10
    closing arguments tomorrow. The closing arguments can focus
11
   mostly on whether we ought to confirm or not and not what
12
   the Confirmation Order says. So we may really split that
    into saying, should we confirm the arguments. And then if
13
    it turns out that there is controversy about the order, I
14
    could do different arguments about what particular
15
   provisions of the order maybe should say.
16
17
              But it just depends. I don't know how many
18
    changes you're going to make. And I, along with everybody
19
    else, can look at redlines and try and make a reasonable
    decision about that.
20
              Anybody have any problem with that game plan?
21
22
              Mr. Balasko, I saw you just turned on your camera.
23
    I don't see that you pressed five star. Did you need to
```

MR. BALASKO: (No audible response)

24

25

address anything with us?

THE COURT: Mr. Balasko? 1 MR. BALASKO: Zach Balasko, Department of the 2 3 Interior. No, Your Honor, Ms. Liou just mentioned the 4 Government language, and I did not know if we wanted to 5 discuss that. But I certainly don't need to. 6 THE COURT: All right. Okay. We're in 7 adjournment. I'm resuming court in the morning at 9:00 a.m. 8 Closing arguments in this case will be at 9:45, and we'll 9 see you all then. 10 Thank you. 11 (Proceeding adjourned at 5:37 p.m.) 12 13 I certify that the foregoing is a correct 14 transcript to the best of my ability due to the condition of 15 the electronic sound recording of the ZOOM/telephonic 16 proceedings in the above-entitled matter. 17 /S/ MARY D. HENRY 18 CERTIFIED BY THE AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF 19 ELECTRONIC REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS, CET**337 20 JUDICIAL TRANSCRIBERS OF TEXAS, LLC 21 JTT TRANSCRIPT #64152 22 DATE FILED: JUNE 28, 2021 23 24 25